

2024 REPORTS AND OPINIONS OF THE PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATOR

## BUFFALO COUNTY

Good Life. Great Service.
April 5, 2024

Commissioner Hotz :

The Property Tax Administrator has compiled the 2024 Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Buffalo County pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5027. This Report and Opinion will inform the Tax Equalization and Review Commission of the level of value and quality of assessment for real property in Buffalo County.

The information contained within the County Reports of the Appendices was provided by the county assessor pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-1514.

For the Tax Commissioner


Sincerely, Sarah Scott
Property Tax Administrator 402-471-5962

cc: Roy Meusch, Buffalo County Assessor
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## Introduction

Pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5027 the Property Tax Administrator (PTA) shall annually prepare and deliver to each county assessor and to the Tax Equalization and Review Commission (Commission) the Reports and Opinions (R\&O). The R\&O contains statistical and narrative reports informing the Commission of the certified opinion of the PTA regarding the level of value and the quality of assessment of the classes and subclasses of real property in each county. In addition, the PTA may make nonbinding recommendations for class or subclass adjustments to be considered by the Commission.

The statistical and narrative reports in the R\&O provide an analysis of the assessment process implemented by each county to reach the levels of value and quality of assessment required by Nebraska law. The PTA's opinion of the level of value and quality of assessment in each county, is a conclusion based upon all the data provided by the county assessor and information gathered by the Nebraska Department of Revenue, Property Assessment Division (Division) regarding the assessment activities in the county during the preceding year.

The statistical reports are developed using the statewide sales file that contains all transactions as required by Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-1327. From this state sales file, a statistical analysis comparing assessments to sale prices for arm's-length sales (assessment sales ratio) is prepared. After analyzing all available information to determine that the sales represent the class or subclass of real property being measured, inferences are drawn regarding the level of assessment and quality of assessment of that class or subclass of real property. The statistical reports contained in the R\&O are developed based on standards developed by the International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO).

The analysis of assessment practices in each county is necessary to give proper context to the statistical inferences from the assessment sales ratio studies and the overall quality of assessment in the county. The assessment practices are evaluated in the county to ensure generally accepted mass appraisal techniques are used and that those methods will generally produce uniform and proportionate valuations.

The PTA considers the statistical reports and the analysis of assessment practices when forming conclusions for both the level of value and quality of assessment. The consideration of both the statistical indicators and assessment processes used to develop valuations is necessary to accurately determine the level of value and quality of assessment. Assessment practices that produce a biased sales file will generally produce a biased statistical indicator, which, on its face, would otherwise appear to be valid. Likewise, statistics produced on small, unrepresentative, or otherwise unreliable samples, may indicate issues with assessment uniformity and assessment level; however, a detailed review of the practices and valuation models may suggest otherwise. For these reasons, the detail of the PTA's analysis is presented and contained within the Residential, Commercial, and Agricultural land correlations of the R\&O.

## Statistical Analysis:

Before relying upon any calculated statistical measures to evaluate the assessment performance of the county assessor, the Division teammates must evaluate whether the statistical sample is both representative of the population and statistically reliable.

A statistically sufficient reliable sample of sales is one in which the features of the sample contain information necessary to compute an estimate of the population. To determine whether the sample of sales is sufficient in size to evaluate the class of real property, measures of reliability are considered, such as the coefficient of dispersion (COD) or the width of the confidence interval. Generally, the broader the qualitative measures, the more sales will be needed to have reliability in the ratio study.

A representative sample is a group of sales from a larger population of parcels, such that statistical indicators calculated from the sample can be expected to reflect the characteristics of the sold and unsold population being studied. The accuracy of statistics as estimators of the population depends on the degree to which the sample represents the population.

Since multiple factors affect whether a sample is statistically sufficient, reliable, and representative, single test thresholds cannot be used to make determinations regarding sample reliability or representativeness.

For the analysis in determining a point estimate of the level of value, the PTA considers three measures as indicators of the central tendency of assessment: the median ratio, weighted mean ratio, and mean ratio. The use and reliability of each measure is based on inherent strengths and weaknesses which are the quantity and quality of the information from which it was calculated and the defined scope of the analysis.

The median ratio is considered the most appropriate statistical measure to determine a level of value for direct equalization, which is the process of adjusting the values of classes or subclasses of property in response to an unacceptable required level of value. Since the median ratio is considered neutral in relationship to either assessed value or selling price, adjusting the class or subclass of properties based upon the median measure will not change the relationships between assessed value and level of value already present in the class of property. Additionally, the median ratio is less influenced by the presence of extreme ratios, commonly called outliers, which can skew the outcome in the other measures.

The weighted mean ratio best reflects a comparison of the fully assessable valuation of a jurisdiction, by measuring the total assessed values against the total of selling prices. The weighted mean ratio can be heavily influenced by sales of large-dollar property with extreme ratios.

The mean ratio is used as a basis for other statistical calculations, such as the Price Related Differential (PRD) and Coefficient of Variation (COV). As a simple average of the ratios, the mean ratio has limited application in the analysis of the level of value because it assumes a normal
distribution of the data set around the mean ratio with each ratio having the same impact on the calculation regardless of the assessed value or the selling price.
The quality of assessment relies in part on statistical indicators as well. If the weighted mean ratio, because of its dollar-weighting feature, is significantly different from the mean ratio, it may be an indication of disproportionate assessments. Assessments are disproportionate when properties within a class are assessed at noticeably different levels of market value. The coefficient produced by this calculation is referred to as the PRD and measures the assessment level of lower-priced properties relative to the assessment level of higher-priced properties. The PRD range stated in IAAO standards is $98 \%$ to $103 \%$. A perfect match in assessment level between the low-dollar properties and high-dollar properties indicates a PRD of $100 \%$. The reason for the extended range on the high end is the recognition by IAAO of the inherent bias in assessment. The IAAO Standard on Ratio Studies notes that the PRD is sensitive to sales with higher prices even if the ratio on higher priced sales do not appear unusual relative to other sales, and that small samples, samples with high dispersion, or extreme ratios may not provide an accurate indication of assessment regressivity or progressivity, appraisal biases that occur when high-value properties are appraised higher or lower than low-value properties in relation to market values.

The Coefficient of Dispersion (COD) is a measure also used in the evaluation of assessment quality. The COD measures the average absolute deviation calculated about the median and is expressed as a percentage of the median. A COD of $15 \%$ indicates that half of the assessment ratios are expected to fall within $15 \%$ of the median. The closer the ratios are grouped around the median the more equitable the property assessments tend to be.

Nebraska law does not provide for a range of acceptability for the COD or PRD; however, the IAAO Standard on Ratio Studies establishes the following range of acceptability for the COD:

| General Property Class | Jurisdiction Size/Profile/Market Activity | COD Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential improved (single family dwellings, condominiums, manuf. housing, 2-4 family units) | Very large jurisdictions/densely populated/newer properties/active markets | 5.0 to 10.0 |
|  | Large to mid-sized jurisdictions/older \& newer properties/less active markets | 5.0 to 15.0 |
|  | Rural or small jurisdictions/older properties/depressed market areas | 5.0 to 20.0 |
| Income-produding properties (commerdial, industrial, apartments,) | Very large jurisdictions/densely populated/newer properties/active markets | 5.0 to 15.0 |
|  | Large to mid-sized jurisdictions/older \& newer properties/less active markets | 5.0 to 20.0 |
|  | Rural or small jurisdictions/older properties/depressed market areas | 5.0 to 25.0 |
| Residential vacant land | Very large jurisdictions/rapid development/active markets | 5.0 to 15.0 |
|  | Large to mid-sized jurisdictions/slower development/less active markets | 5.0 to 20.0 |
|  | Rural or small jurisdictions//ittle development/depressed markets | 5.0 to 25.0 |
| Other (non-agricultural) vacant land | Very large jurisdictions/rapid development/active markets | 5.0 to 20.0 |
|  | Large to mid-sized jurisdictions/slower development/less active markets | 5.0 to 25.0 |
|  | Rural or small jurisdictions//ittle development/depressed markets | 5.0 to 30.0 |

A COD under $5 \%$ indicates that the properties in the sample are either unusually homogenous, or possibly indicative of a non-representative sample due to the selective reappraisal of sold parcels. The IAAO utilizes varying upper bounds for the COD range to recognize that sample size, property type, variation of property ages and market conditions directly impact the COD. This chart and the analyses of factors impacting the COD are considered to determine whether the calculated COD is within an acceptable range. The reliability of the COD can also be directly affected by extreme ratios.

The confidence interval is another measure used to evaluate the reliability of the statistical indicators. The PTA primarily relies upon the median confidence interval, although the mean and weighted mean confidence intervals are calculated as well. While there are no formal standards regarding the acceptable width of such measure, the range established is often useful in determining the range in which the true level of value is expected to exist. Pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-5023, the acceptable range is $69 \%$ to $75 \%$ of actual value for agricultural land, except for taxes levied to pay school bonds passed after January 12, 2022 for which the acceptable range is $44 \%$ to $50 \%$ of actual value. For all other classes of real property, the acceptable range is $92 \%$ to $100 \%$ of actual value.

## Analysis of Assessment Practices:

A review of the assessment practices that ultimately affect the valuation of real property in each county is completed. This review is done to ensure the reliability of the statistical analysis and to ensure generally accepted mass appraisal techniques are used to establish uniform and proportionate valuations. The review of assessment practices is based on information provided by the county assessors in Assessment Surveys and Assessed Value Updates (AVU), along with observed assessment practices in the county.

To ensure county assessors are submitting all Real Estate Transfer Statements, required for the development of the state sales file pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. §77-1327, a random sample from the county registers of deeds' records is audited to confirm that the required sales have been submitted and reflect accurate information. The timeliness of the submission is also reviewed to ensure the sales file allows analysis of up-to-date information. The sales verification and qualification procedures used by the county assessors are reviewed to ensure that sales are properly considered arm's-length transactions unless determined to be otherwise through the verification process. Proper sales verification practices ensure the statistical analysis is based on an unbiased sample of sales.

Comparison of valuation changes on sold and unsold properties is conducted to ensure that there is no bias in the assessment of sold parcels and that the sales file adequately represents the population of parcels in the county.

Valuation groups and market areas are also examined to identify whether the groups and areas being measured truly represent economic areas within the county. The measurement of economic areas is the method by which the PTA ensures intra-county equalization exists. The progress of the county assessor's six-year inspection and review cycle is documented to ensure compliance with Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-1311.03 and also to confirm that all property is being uniformly listed and described for valuation purposes.

Valuation methodologies developed by the county assessor are reviewed for both appraisal logic and to ensure compliance with generally accepted mass appraisal techniques. Methods and sales used to develop lot values, agricultural outbuildings, and agricultural site values are also reviewed to ensure the land component of the valuation process is based on the local market and economic area.

Compliance with statutory reporting requirements is also a component of the assessment practices review. Late, incomplete, or excessive errors in statutory reports can be problematic for property owners, county officials, the Division, the Commission, and others. The late, incomplete, or excessive errors in statutory reporting highlights potential issues in other areas of the assessment process. Public trust in the assessment process demands transparency, and assessment practices are reviewed to ensure taxpayers are served with such transparency.

Comprehensive review of assessment practices in each county is conducted throughout the year. When practical, if potential issues are identified, they are presented to the county assessor for clarification and correction, if necessary. The county assessor can then work to implement corrective measures prior to establishing assessed values. The PTA's conclusion that assessment quality either meets or does not meet generally accepted mass appraisal techniques is based on the totality of the assessment practices in the county.
*Further information may be found in Exhibit 94

## County Overview

With a total area of 968 square miles, Buffalo County has 50,586 residents, per the Census Bureau Quick Facts for 2024, a slight population increase over the 2023 U.S. Census. Reports indicate that $66 \%$ of county residents are homeowners and $83 \%$ of residents occupy the same residence as in the prior year (Census Quick
 Facts). The average home value is $\$ 216,090$ (2023 Average Residential Value, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-3506.02).

NE Dept. of Revenue, Research Division 2023

|  | CITY POPULATION CHANGE |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | Change |
| AMHERST | 248 | 201 | $-19.0 \%$ |
| ELM CREEK | 901 | 979 | $8.7 \%$ |
| GIBBON | 1,833 | 1,878 | $2.5 \%$ |
| KEARNEY | 30,919 | 33,792 | $9.3 \%$ |
| MILLER | 136 | 129 | $-5.1 \%$ |
| PLEASANTON | 341 | 361 | $5.9 \%$ |
| RAVENNA | 1,360 | 1,441 | $6.0 \%$ |
| RIVERDALE | 182 | 247 | $35.7 \%$ |
| SHELTON | 1,059 | 1,034 | $-2.4 \%$ | commercial properties in Buffalo County convene in and around the county seat of Kearney. According to the latest information available from the U.S. Census Bureau, there are 1,759 employer establishments with total employment of 23,599 . A slight increase from 2019. The largest employers in the county include a state university and a regional hospital.

The residential class makes up the majority of the valuation base within Buffalo County, followed by the agricultural class. The agricultural class is comprised mainly of irrigated land and grass land throughout the county. Buffalo County is included in both the Central Platte and Lower Loup Natural Resources Districts (NRD).

## 2024 Residential Correlation for Buffalo County

## Assessment Actions

For the 2024 assessment year, the Buffalo County Assessor updated costing for all residential valuation groups. Detached garages and outbuildings had new depreciation tables created and properties were equalized. Rural residential was completely re-appraised.

Routine maintenance and pick-up work was completed and placed on the assessment roll.

## Assessment Practice Review

As explained in the Introduction of this Report and Opinion, the assessment practices were reviewed to determine compliance with all assessment requirements and to ensure that all data submitted to the State sales file was timely and accurate.

A review of the sales verification and qualification was completed and the usability rate in Buffalo County is above the statewide average, but comparable to similar sized counties. Monthly sales reviews shows that no apparent bias was detected in the process of qualifications. All arm's-length sales were made available for measurement purposes.

Valuation groups were evaluated and correlate with the assessor locations. For the residential class, Buffalo County has 12 valuation groups. The City of Kearney has been divided up into the first six groups. Valuation Group 7 encompasses the smallest villages of the county, while Valuation Group 8 is the rural and suburban parcels outside city boundaries. Valuation Groups 9 thru 12 include the assessor locations of Elm Creek, Ravenna, Shelton, and Gibbon and 14 includes the recreational parcels.

Buffalo County is up to date on the six-year inspection and review cycle. Four staff appraisers complete the review work, with the county assessor as the final reviewer of all changes. Costing, lot studies, and depreciation for the entire residential class was updated to 2023. All parcels in the residential class have been physically inspected within the last six years.

The Buffalo County Assessor has a written valuation methodology on file.

## 2024 Residential Correlation for Buffalo County

## Description of Analysis

Buffalo County recognizes 12 valuation groups for the residential class of property.

| Valuation Group | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Southeast Kearney |
| 2 | Southwest Kearney |
| 3 | Central Kearney, East of $25^{\text {th }}$ Street |
| 4 | Central Kearney, West of 25 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street |
| 5 | Northeast Kearney |
| 6 | Northwest Kearney |
| 7 | Amherst, Miller, Odessa, Pleasanton, Riverdale |
| 8 | Rural |
| 9 | Elm Creek |
| 10 | Gibbon |
| 11 | Ravenna |
| 12 | Shelton |

A review of the statistics shows that all measures of central tendency are within the acceptable range, and the qualitative statistics are within the IAAO recommended range. All the valuation groups within Buffalo County show a substantial number of sales for analysis to be completed and all are within the acceptable ranges.

A comparison of the 2024 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45 Compared with the 2023 Certificate of Taxes Levied Report (CTL) shows the general residential population and the sales sample changed at a similar rate supporting the conclusion that the changes made to the residential class of property were equitably applied.

## Equalization and Quality of Assessment

A review of the statistics and assessment practices indicate the assessments for residential property in Buffalo County are uniform. The quality of assessment complies with generally accepted mass appraisal techniques.

## 2024 Residential Correlation for Buffalo County

| VALUATION GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD |
| 1 | 100 | 92.28 | 93.20 | 91.49 | 11.82 | 101.87 |
| 2 | 188 | 91.56 | 92.77 | 92.27 | 09.70 | 100.54 |
| 3 | 250 | 91.66 | 91.98 | 91.52 | 08.39 | 100.50 |
| 4 | 159 | 91.57 | 92.02 | 92.01 | 10.01 | 100.01 |
| 5 | 169 | 91.85 | 92.43 | 91.60 | 07.71 | 100.91 |
| 6 | 108 | 92.53 | 93.25 | 91.92 | 08.69 | 101.45 |
| 7 | 29 | 92.97 | 94.31 | 92.71 | 12.05 | 101.73 |
| 8 | 157 | 92.37 | 94.97 | 91.25 | 14.62 | 104.08 |
| 9 | 30 | 91.77 | 90.92 | 89.02 | 09.81 | 102.13 |
| 10 | 42 | 93.77 | 92.63 | 92.46 | 12.16 | 100.18 |
| 11 | 40 | 93.58 | 94.13 | 91.05 | 12.09 | 103.38 |
| 12 | 28 | 93.09 | 94.54 | 91.04 | 11.88 | 103.84 |
|  |  | 1,300 | 91.91 | 92.89 | 91.68 | 10.19 |
| ALL |  |  |  |  |  | 101.32 |

## Level of Value

Based on analysis of all available information, the level of value for the residential property in Buffalo County is $92 \%$.

## 2024 Commercial Correlation for Buffalo County

## Assessment Actions

The Buffalo County Assessor updated all commercial costing in the county to 2023. The City of Kearney had a 4\% increase to all commercial parcels.

Routine maintenance and pick-up work was completed and placed on the assessment roll.

## Assessment Practice Review

As explained in the Introduction of this Report and Opinion, the assessment practices were reviewed to determine compliance with all assessment requirements and to ensure that all data submitted to the State sales file was timely and accurate.

A review of the sales verification and qualification process was conducted with the county assessor for the commercial class. The usability rate for Buffalo County is above the statewide average. A monthly review of sales submitted during the study period is completed and determined no sales bias is detected. All arm's-length sales are made available for measurement and explanatory reasons are given for disqualified sales.

For the commercial class, the county recognizes two valuation groups, and a portion of the commercial class is reviewed each year to stay within the six-year inspection and review cycle. A team of appraisers are employed by Buffalo County. All commercial depreciation tables and inspections have been updated between 2018 through 2023 depending on location. Costing and lot studies for the commercial class were updated to 2023.

## Description of Analysis

Buffalo County is divided into two valuation groups for the commercial class.

| Valuation Group | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Kearney |
| 2 | The remainder of the county |

The statistical analysis shows that two of the three measures of central tendency are within the acceptable range, while the weighted mean is slightly low. Hypothetically removing three very high dollar sales during this study period, will cause the weighted mean to fall into range. The COD and PRD are both within the range recommended by IAAO.

The majority of the commercial sales in Buffalo County are located within Valuation Group 1 with 109, while Valuation Group 2 has 28 which is enough for a reliable study of the statistics. When sales are considered by occupancy codes, only four codes had a sufficient number of sales. Occupancy code (353) Retail Stores, and (406) Storage Warehouse have larger samples but show medians outside the desired range. A substat of each occupancy code can be found in the appendix.

## 2024 Commercial Correlation for Buffalo County

Further analysis of occupancy 353 shows that 10 of 13 sales are in Valuation Group; however, the sample has a broad COD and is not useful for measurement purposes. Occupancy code 406 includes 17 sales. Further analysis shows that the hypothetical removal of one high dollar sale will improve the median.

The 2024 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property Form 45 Compared with the 2023 Certificate of Taxes Levied Report (CTL) shows a change consistent with the reported actions of the county assessor.

## Equalization and Quality of Assessment

Based on the analysis of all available information, commercial property in Buffalo County has been equitably valued, and the quality of assessment complies with generally accepted mass appraisal techniques.

| VALUATION GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD |
| 1 | 109 | 95.27 | 91.45 | 88.78 | 17.59 | 103.01 |
| 2 | 28 | 92.90 | 95.24 | 96.54 | 19.29 | 98.65 |
|  |  | 137 | 95.17 | 92.23 | 89.17 | 17.88 |

## Level of Value

Based on analysis of all available information, the level of value for the commercial property in Buffalo County is $95 \%$.

## 2024 Agricultural Correlation for Buffalo County

## Assessment Actions

For the agricultural class, the Buffalo County Assessor increased irrigated land 5\%, dryland 5\%, and grassland values $15 \%$.

Routine maintenance and pick-up work was completed and placed on the assessment roll.

## Assessment Practice Review

As explained in the Introduction of this Report and Opinion, the assessment practices were reviewed to determine compliance with all assessment requirements and to ensure that all data submitted to the State sales file was timely and accurate.

A sales verification and qualification review was performed on the agricultural class for Buffalo County and the usability rate is near the statewide average. All non-qualified sales show sufficient reasons for disqualification.

There are three market areas in Buffalo County. The uninfluenced market area is Market Area 1 which consists of the northern part of the county. Market Area 2 surrounds the City of Kearney and is influenced by residential and commercial development. Market Area 6 is along the Platte River and sales here are influenced by recreational factors. Market Area 1 is used to arrive at uninfluenced values for both Market Area 2 and 6.

The county assessor is within the six-year inspection and review cycle for the agricultural class. Each year a study of at least four townships is completed in which dwellings and outbuildings are reviewed. Agricultural dwellings and outbuildings had costing and depreciation updated in 2023.

Buffalo County does have intensive use identified for feedlots and vineyards. Buffalo County does have special value applications on file. The county assessor has identified about $50 \%$ of the acres enrolled in the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP).

## Description of Analysis

The agricultural class consists of 66 qualified sales. A review of the statistics shows that two measures of central tendency and the COD are within range while the mean is slightly high.

Stratification by 80\% Majority Land Use (MLU) reveals that both the irrigated and grass in Market Area 1 have a sufficient number of sales and medians within the acceptable range. Dryland, grassland and irrigated values are comparable to surrounding counties

Review of the 2024 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property Form 45 Compared to the 2023 Certificate of Taxes Levied Report (CTL) supports the stated actions of the county assessor.

## Equalization and Quality of Assessment

Agricultural homes and outbuildings have been valued using the same valuation process as rural residential improvements. Agricultural land values are equalized and meet generally accepted mass appraisal techniques.

## 2024 Agricultural Correlation for Buffalo County

| 80\%MLU By Market Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD |
| ___Irrigated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 25 | 70.64 | 69.46 | 65.35 | 18.05 | 106.29 |
| 1 | 25 | 70.64 | 69.46 | 65.35 | 18.05 | 106.29 |
| ___Dry |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 6 | 74.42 | 81.81 | 78.38 | 12.42 | 104.38 |
| 1 | 6 | 74.42 | 81.81 | 78.38 | 12.42 | 104.38 |
| ___Grass |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 12 | 68.68 | 71.44 | 59.07 | 23.85 | 120.94 |
| 1 | 12 | 68.68 | 71.44 | 59.07 | 23.85 | 120.94 |
| _ ALL | 66 | 73.13 | 76.90 | 69.69 | 22.33 | 110.35 |

## Level of Value

Based on analysis of all available information, the level of value of agricultural land in Buffalo County is $73 \%$.

## Special Valuation

A review of agricultural land values in Buffalo County in areas that have non-agricultural influences indicates that the assessed values used are similar to the assessed values in areas of the county that do not have non-agricultural influences. Therefore, it is the opinion of the Property Tax Administrator that the level of value for Special Valuation of agricultural land is $73 \%$.

## 2024 Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator for Buffalo County

My opinions and recommendations are stated as a conclusion based on all of the factors known to me regarding the assessment practices and statistical analysis for this county. See, Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-5027 (R.R.S. 2011). While the median assessment sales ratio from the Qualified Statistical Reports for each class of real property is considered, my opinion of the level of value for a class of real property may be determined from other evidence contained within these Reports and Opinions of the Property Tax Administrator. My opinion of quality of assessment for a class of real property may be influenced by the assessment practices of the county assessor.

| Class | Level of Value | Quality of Assessment | Non-binding recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Real Property | 92 | Meets generally accepted mass appraisal techniques. | No recommendation. |
| Commercial Real Property | 95 | Meets generally accepted mass appraisal techniques. | No recommendation. |
| Agricultural Land | 73 | Meets generally accepted mass appraisal techniques. | No recommendation. |
| Special Valuation of Agricultural Land | 73 | Meets generally accepted mass appraisal techniques. | No recommendation. |
| **A level of value displayed as information to determine a level of value. |  |  |  |

Dated this 5th day of April, 2024.


## APPENDICES

## 2024 Commission Summary

## for Buffalo County

## Residential Real Property - Current

| Number of Sales | 1300 | Median | 91.91 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Total Sales Price | $\$ 367,831,062$ | Mean | 92.89 |
| Total Adj. Sales Price | $\$ 367,831,062$ | Wgt. Mean | 91.68 |
| Total Assessed Value | $\$ 337,220,330$ | Average Assessed Value of the Base | $\$ 217,718$ |
| Avg. Adj. Sales Price | $\$ 282,947$ | Avg. Assessed Value | $\$ 259,400$ |

Confidence Interval - Current

| $95 \%$ Median C.I | 91.43 to 92.37 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $95 \%$ Wgt. Mean C.I | 90.86 to 92.49 |
| $95 \%$ Mean C.I | 92.18 to 93.60 |
| $\%$ of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the County | 50.26 |
| $\%$ of Records Sold in the Study Period | 7.89 |
| $\%$ of Value Sold in the Study Period | 9.40 |

Residential Real Property - History

| Year | Number of Sales | LOV | Median |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | 1,538 | 93 | 93.42 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | 1,591 | 94 | 93.83 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 1,417 | 94 | 94.43 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 1,405 | 96 | 96.20 |

## 2024 Commission Summary

## for Buffalo County

| Commercial Real Property - Current |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Number of Sales | 137 | Median | 95.17 |
| Total Sales Price | $\$ 91,543,322$ | Mean | 92.23 |
| Total Adj. Sales Price | $\$ 91,543,322$ | Wgt. Mean | 89.17 |
| Total Assessed Value | $\$ 81,625,385$ | Average Assessed Value of the Base | $\$ 588,492$ |
| Avg. Adj. Sales Price | $\$ 668,199$ | Avg. Assessed Value | $\$ 595,806$ |

## Confidence Interval - Current

| $95 \%$ Median C.I | 89.75 to 100.69 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $95 \%$ Wgt. Mean C.I | 84.33 to 94.00 |
| $95 \%$ Mean C.I | 88.82 to 95.64 |
| $\%$ of Value of the Class of all Real Property Value in the County | 18.80 |
| $\%$ of Records Sold in the Study Period | 6.01 |
| $\%$ of Value Sold in the Study Period | 6.08 |

## Commercial Real Property - History

| Year | Number of Sales | LOV | Median |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | 157 | 98 | 97.55 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | 138 | 97 | 96.54 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 113 | 98 | 98.41 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 103 | 93 | 93.00 |

## 10 Buffalo

 RESIDENTIAL
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## 10 Buffalo

 RESIDENTIAL
## PAD 2024 R\&O Statistics (Using 2024 Values)

Qualified
Date Range: 10/1/2021 To 9/30/2023 Posted on: 1/31/2024
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## 10 Buffalo

 COMMERCIALNumber of Sales : 137 Total Sales Price : $91,543,322$ Total Adj. Sales Price : 91,543,322 Total Assessed Value : 81,625,385 Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 668,199 Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 668,199
Avg. Assessed Value : 595,806

## PAD 2024 R\&O Statistics (Using 2024 Values)

Qualified
Date Range: 10/1/2020 To 9/30/2023 Posted on: 1/31/2024

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { COV : } 22.09 \\
& \text { STD : } 20.37
\end{aligned}
$$

Avg. Abs. Dev: 17.02
95\% Median C.I. : 89.75 to 100.69
95\% Wgt. Mean C.I. : 84.33 to 94.00
95\% Mean C.I. : 88.82 to 95.64
MAX Sales Ratio : 129.99
MIN Sales Ratio : 49.29
Printed:3/18/2024 9:34:16AM

| DATE OF SALE * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Avg. Adj. Sale Price | Avg. <br> Assd. Val |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95\%_Median_C.I. |  |  |
| Qrtrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01-OCT-20 To 31-DEC-20 | 11 | 100.69 | 95.02 | 90.14 | 13.88 | 105.41 | 59.14 | 114.05 | 72.55 to 113.63 | 765,318 | 689,887 |
| 01-JAN-21 To 31-MAR-21 | 12 | 110.10 | 105.13 | 111.31 | 10.48 | 94.45 | 81.88 | 129.34 | 88.39 to 115.65 | 680,908 | 757,895 |
| 01-APR-21 To 30-JUN-21 | 9 | 99.43 | 98.47 | 100.30 | 12.95 | 98.18 | 63.63 | 120.76 | 83.97 to 115.11 | 406,071 | 407,273 |
| 01-JUL-21 To 30-SEP-21 | 14 | 101.99 | 102.68 | 100.18 | 07.36 | 102.50 | 89.75 | 122.00 | 94.62 to 111.28 | 674,543 | 675,744 |
| 01-OCT-21 To 31-DEC-21 | 16 | 94.51 | 94.14 | 99.63 | 14.95 | 94.49 | 67.97 | 126.86 | 81.46 to 106.04 | 349,668 | 348,361 |
| 01-JAN-22 To 31-MAR-22 | 9 | 93.93 | 89.76 | 97.83 | 18.22 | 91.75 | 55.48 | 118.23 | 56.71 to 111.00 | 382,622 | 374,325 |
| 01-APR-22 To 30-JUN-22 | 13 | 95.27 | 95.19 | 95.96 | 11.33 | 99.20 | 61.47 | 113.20 | 84.64 to 110.17 | 454,302 | 435,940 |
| 01-JUL-22 To 30-SEP-22 | 19 | 104.96 | 94.32 | 91.26 | 18.53 | 103.35 | 49.29 | 129.99 | 71.54 to 112.46 | 503,500 | 459,496 |
| 01-OCT-22 To 31-DEC-22 | 13 | 72.99 | 75.56 | 71.69 | 19.72 | 105.40 | 49.81 | 119.18 | 57.76 to 91.41 | 889,260 | 637,483 |
| 01-JAN-23 To 31-MAR-23 | 9 | 76.71 | 76.68 | 78.59 | 20.60 | 97.57 | 51.16 | 129.89 | 55.69 to 86.77 | 1,197,220 | 940,874 |
| 01-APR-23 To 30-JUN-23 | 8 | 85.90 | 86.18 | 88.78 | 22.86 | 97.07 | 52.14 | 122.20 | 52.14 to 122.20 | 614,815 | 545,860 |
| 01-JUL-23 TO 30-SEP-23 | 4 | 70.06 | 74.78 | 72.87 | 14.69 | 102.62 | 61.74 | 97.25 | N/A | 2,522,779 | 1,838,411 |
| Study Yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01-OCT-20 To 30-SEP-21 | 46 | 102.93 | 100.66 | 100.41 | 11.43 | 100.25 | 59.14 | 129.34 | 95.46 to 109.40 | 645,383 | 648,030 |
| 01-OCT-21 To 30-SEP-22 | 57 | 97.14 | 93.75 | 95.23 | 16.56 | 98.45 | 49.29 | 129.99 | 85.39 to 105.20 | 430,012 | 409,479 |
| 01-OCT-22 TO 30-SEP-23 | 34 | 73.83 | 78.26 | 76.25 | 22.06 | 102.64 | 49.81 | 129.89 | 66.89 to 82.85 | 1,098,382 | 837,520 |
| Calendar Yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01-JAN-21 To 31-DEC-21 | 51 | 101.97 | 99.83 | 103.46 | 11.95 | 96.49 | 63.63 | 129.34 | 95.16 to 106.04 | 526,741 | 544,988 |
| 01-JAN-22 To 31-DEC-22 | 54 | 92.84 | 89.25 | 85.49 | 20.30 | 104.40 | 49.29 | 129.99 | 79.54 to 104.46 | 564,378 | 482,479 |
| ALL | 137 | 95.17 | 92.23 | 89.17 | 17.88 | 103.43 | 49.29 | 129.99 | 89.75 to 100.69 | 668,199 | 595,806 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95\%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| 1 | 109 | 95.27 | 91.45 | 88.78 | 17.59 | 103.01 | 49.29 | 126.86 | 89.59 to 101.14 | 798,160 | 708,614 |
| 2 | 28 | 92.90 | 95.24 | 96.54 | 19.29 | 98.65 | 55.48 | 129.99 | 84.99 to 107.85 | 162,280 | 156,659 |
| _ ALL | 137 | 95.17 | 92.23 | 89.17 | 17.88 | 103.43 | 49.29 | 129.99 | 89.75 to 100.69 | 668,199 | 595,806 |
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## 10 Buffalo COMMERCIAL



Number of Sales: 137
Total Sales Price : $91,543,322$
Total Adj. Sales Price : 91,543,322 Total Assessed Value : 81,625,385 Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 668,199 Avg. Assessed Value : 595,806

PAD 2024 R\&O Statistics (Using 2024 Values)
Qualified
Date Range: 10/1/2020 To 9/30/2023 Posted on: 1/31/2024

MEDIAN : 95 WGT. MEAN : 89 MEAN : 92

COV : 22.09
STD : 20.37
Avg. Abs. Dev : 17.02

95\% Median C.I. : 89.75 to 100.69
95\% Wgt. Mean C.I. : 84.33 to 94.00
95\% Mean C.I. : 88.82 to 95.64

| OCCUPANCY CODE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Avg. Adj. | Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95\%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| 152 | 1 | 97.25 | 97.25 | 97.25 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 97.25 | 97.25 | N/A | 831,115 | 808,225 |
| 304 | 2 | 98.71 | 98.71 | 98.42 | 01.42 | 100.29 | 97.31 | 100.10 | N/A | 440,000 | 433,033 |
| 319 | 1 | 111.01 | 111.01 | 111.01 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 111.01 | 111.01 | N/A | 2,600,000 | 2,886,255 |
| 326 | 3 | 73.76 | 81.68 | 80.94 | 32.86 | 100.91 | 49.29 | 122.00 | N/A | 232,000 | 187,770 |
| 341 | 5 | 113.29 | 110.18 | 111.97 | 07.65 | 98.40 | 95.17 | 126.86 | N/A | 448,174 | 501,800 |
| 343 | 3 | 86.04 | 90.58 | 97.59 | 15.73 | 92.82 | 72.55 | 113.15 | N/A | 633,000 | 617,745 |
| 344 | 18 | 99.68 | 96.43 | 94.88 | 13.68 | 101.63 | 56.71 | 129.89 | 84.64 to 105.71 | 728,777 | 691,443 |
| 349 | 2 | 88.51 | 88.51 | 88.84 | 06.34 | 99.63 | 82.90 | 94.11 | N/A | 433,088 | 384,758 |
| 350 | 4 | 108.98 | 100.48 | 109.39 | 15.81 | 91.85 | 61.74 | 122.20 | N/A | 597,500 | 653,579 |
| 352 | 23 | 93.93 | 93.81 | 84.48 | 15.18 | 111.04 | 64.06 | 129.34 | 78.30 to 106.71 | 992,614 | 838,537 |
| 353 | 13 | 81.46 | 81.83 | 84.77 | 22.32 | 96.53 | 52.14 | 120.76 | 57.76 to 101.11 | 256,046 | 217,043 |
| 384 | 5 | 81.46 | 92.46 | 80.41 | 20.76 | 114.99 | 68.61 | 119.18 | N/A | 157,002 | 126,242 |
| 386 | 4 | 81.59 | 85.50 | 73.10 | 21.91 | 116.96 | 67.23 | 111.58 | N/A | 1,307,500 | 955,765 |
| 391 | 1 | 63.63 | 63.63 | 63.63 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 63.63 | 63.63 | N/A | 325,000 | 206,795 |
| 406 | 17 | 100.69 | 93.52 | 93.63 | 14.94 | 99.88 | 51.16 | 115.65 | 72.07 to 109.40 | 310,199 | 290,455 |
| 407 | 1 | 104.44 | 104.44 | 104.44 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 104.44 | 104.44 | N/A | 700,000 | 731,080 |
| 412 | 2 | 80.51 | 80.51 | 81.05 | 02.91 | 99.33 | 78.17 | 82.85 | N/A | 5,450,000 | 4,417,178 |
| 435 | 1 | 115.11 | 115.11 | 115.11 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 115.11 | 115.11 | N/A | 525,000 | 604,335 |
| 442 | 7 | 91.87 | 97.58 | 99.01 | 20.07 | 98.56 | 59.14 | 129.99 | 59.14 to 129.99 | 125,049 | 123,810 |
| 444 | 5 | 89.59 | 85.92 | 83.34 | 10.46 | 103.10 | 71.54 | 98.81 | N/A | 769,000 | 640,855 |
| 453 | 1 | 111.17 | 111.17 | 111.17 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 111.17 | 111.17 | N/A | 230,000 | 255,690 |
| 454 | 1 | 49.81 | 49.81 | 49.81 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 49.81 | 49.81 | N/A | 695,000 | 346,190 |
| 471 | 1 | 107.85 | 107.85 | 107.85 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 107.85 | 107.85 | N/A | 392,218 | 423,000 |
| 472 | 1 | 111.00 | 111.00 | 111.00 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 111.00 | 111.00 | N/A | 70,310 | 78,045 |
| 476 | 1 | 108.14 | 108.14 | 108.14 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 108.14 | 108.14 | N/A | 80,000 | 86,510 |
| 494 | 1 | 66.89 | 66.89 | 66.89 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 66.89 | 66.89 | N/A | 447,370 | 299,265 |
| 526 | 1 | 81.76 | 81.76 | 81.76 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 81.76 | 81.76 | N/A | 1,310,000 | 1,071,035 |
| 528 | 4 | 73.43 | 80.50 | 99.24 | 29.62 | 81.12 | 56.03 | 119.12 | N/A | 903,474 | 896,570 |
| 529 | 2 | 83.16 | 83.16 | 73.92 | 33.33 | 112.50 | 55.44 | 110.88 | N/A | 225,000 | 166,320 |
| 531 | 1 | 92.54 | 92.54 | 92.54 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 92.54 | 92.54 | N/A | 2,016,895 | 1,866,420 |
| 532 | 1 | 76.04 | 76.04 | 76.04 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 76.04 | 76.04 | N/A | 650,000 | 494,290 |
| 573 | 1 | 107.06 | 107.06 | 107.06 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 107.06 | 107.06 | N/A | 760,000 | 813,620 |
| 851 | 1 | 55.48 | 55.48 | 55.48 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 55.48 | 55.48 | N/A | 50,000 | 27,740 |
| 999 | 2 | 109.25 | 109.25 | 106.62 | 02.94 | 102.47 | 106.04 | 112.46 | N/A | 319,522 | 340,670 |
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## 10 Buffalo

 COMMERCIALNumber of Sales : 137 Total Sales Price : 91,543,322
Total Adj. Sales Price : 91,543,322 Total Assessed Value : 81,625,385 Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 668,199 Avg. Assessed Value : 595,806

PAD 2024 R\&O Statistics (Using 2024 Values)
Qualified
Date Range: 10/1/2020 To 9/30/2023 Posted on: 1/31/2024

MEDIAN : 95
WGT. MEAN : 89 MEAN : 92

COD : 17.88 PRD : 103.43

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { COV : } 22.09 \\
& \text { STD : } 20.37
\end{aligned}
$$

Avg. Abs. Dev: 17.02
MAX Sales Ratio : 129.99 MIN Sales Ratio : 49.29

95\% Median C.I. : 89.75 to 100.69
95\% Wgt. Mean C.I. : 84.33 to 94.00
95\% Mean C.I. : 88.82 to 95.64

| ALL | 137 | 95.1 | 92.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Commercial \& Industrial Value Change Vs. Net Taxable Sales Change

Comm.\&Ind w/o Growth

Sources:
Value; 2012-2022 CTL Report
Growth Value; 2012-2022 Abstract Rpt
Net Taxable Sales; Dept. of Revenue website.

| Tax <br> Year | Value | Growth Value | \% Growth of Value | Value Exclud. Growth | Ann.\%chg w/o grwth | Net Taxable Sales Value | \% Chg Net <br> Tax. Sales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | \$ 678,656,865 | \$ 4,670,020 | 0.69\% | \$ 673,986,845 |  | \$ 723,615,697 |  |
| 2013 | \$ 706,453,840 | \$ 11,017,840 | 1.56\% | \$ 695,436,000 | 2.47\% | \$ 748,643,266 | 3.46\% |
| 2014 | \$ 755,906,285 | \$ 27,822,630 | 3.68\% | \$ 728,083,655 | 3.06\% | \$ 769,045,735 | 2.73\% |
| 2015 | \$ 797,240,490 | \$ 37,384,788 | 4.69\% | \$ 759,855,702 | 0.52\% | \$ 763,593,549 | -0.71\% |
| 2016 | \$ 879,690,070 | \$ 25,379,370 | 2.89\% | \$ 854,310,700 | 7.16\% | \$ 758,861,909 | -0.62\% |
| 2017 | \$ 982,177,540 | \$ 29,264,097 | 2.98\% | \$ 952,913,443 | 8.32\% | \$ 751,682,264 | -0.95\% |
| 2018 | \$ 1,062,142,600 | \$ 13,664,555 | 1.29\% | \$ 1,048,478,045 | 6.75\% | \$ 777,406,049 | 3.42\% |
| 2019 | \$ 1,104,906,075 | \$ 30,330,080 | 2.75\% | \$ 1,074,575,995 | 1.17\% | \$ 787,153,711 | 1.25\% |
| 2020 | \$ 1,161,310,145 | \$ 24,250,765 | 2.09\% | \$ 1,137,059,380 | 2.91\% | \$ 782,015,377 | -0.65\% |
| 2021 | \$ 1,115,057,091 | \$ 13,052,130 | 1.17\% | \$ 1,102,004,961 | -5.11\% | \$ 930,880,406 | 19.04\% |
| 2022 | \$ 1,150,297,066 | \$ 23,859,060 | 2.07\% | \$ 1,126,438,006 | 1.02\% | \$ 1,012,728,114 | 8.79\% |
| 2023 | \$ 1,304,077,398 | \$ 46,733,845 | 3.58\% | \$ 1,257,343,553 | 9.31\% | \$ 1,053,921,035 | 4.07\% |
| Ann \%chg | 6.32\% |  |  | Average | 3.42\% | 3.48\% | 3.62\% |


| Tax <br> Year | Cmltv\%chg <br> w/o grwth | Cumltv\%chg <br> Value | Cmltv\%chg <br> Net Sales |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | - | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $2.47 \%$ | $4.10 \%$ | $3.46 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $7.28 \%$ | $11.38 \%$ | $6.28 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $11.96 \%$ | $17.47 \%$ | $5.52 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $25.88 \%$ | $29.62 \%$ | $4.87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $40.41 \%$ | $44.72 \%$ | $3.88 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $54.49 \%$ | $56.51 \%$ | $7.43 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $58.34 \%$ | $62.81 \%$ | $8.78 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $67.55 \%$ | $71.12 \%$ | $8.07 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $62.38 \%$ | $64.30 \%$ | $28.64 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ | $65.98 \%$ | $69.50 \%$ | $39.95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $85.27 \%$ | $92.16 \%$ | $45.65 \%$ |


| County Number | 10 |
| ---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| County Name | Buffalo |

10 - Buffalo COUNTY

## COMMERCIAL IMPROVED

Number of Sales :
Total Sales Price :
Total Adj. Sales Price :
Total Assessed Value :
Avg. Adj. Sales Price :
Avg. Assessed Value :

## DATE OF SALE *

RANGE
COUNT MEDIAN
MEAN WGT.MEAN
COD PRD MIN
27.21

Median :
Wgt. Mean :
Mean :

COD :
PRD :

3,328,599
3,328,599
2,821,565
256,046
217,043
256,046

13
$\qquad$ Qrtrs_
10/01/2020 To 12/31/2020 01/01/2021 To 03/31/2021 04/01/2021 To 06/30/2021 07/01/2021 To 09/30/2021 10/01/2021 To 12/31/2021 01/01/2022 To 03/31/2022 04/01/2022 To 06/30/2022 07/01/2022 To 09/30/2022 10/01/2022 To 12/31/2022 01/01/2023 To 03/31/2023 04/01/2023 To 06/30/2023 07/01/2023 To 09/30/2023
$\qquad$ Study Yrs $\qquad$
10/01/2020 To 09/30/2021 10/01/2021 To 09/30/2022 10/01/2022 To 09/30/2023
$\qquad$ Calendar Yrs $\qquad$ -

01/01/2021 To 12/31/2021 01/01/2022 To 12/31/2022

| 1 | 92.27 | 92.27 | 92.27 |  | 100.00 | 92.27 | 92.27 |  | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 120.76 | 120.76 | 120.76 |  | 100.00 | 120.76 | 120.76 |  | N/A |
| 1 | 111.28 | 111.28 | 111.28 |  | 100.00 | 111.28 | 111.28 |  | N/A |
| 3 | 81.46 | 82.19 | 79.38 | 11.93 | 103.54 | 67.97 | 97.14 |  | N/A |
| 1 | 59.72 | 59.72 | 59.72 |  | 100.00 | 59.72 | 59.72 |  | N/A |
| 1 | 57.76 | 57.76 | 57.76 |  | 100.00 | 57.76 | 57.76 |  | N/A |
| 2 | 71.23 | 71.23 | 62.12 | 21.82 | 114.67 | 55.69 | 86.77 |  | N/A |
| 3 | 79.68 | 77.64 | 56.67 | 20.48 | 137.00 | 52.14 | 101.11 |  | N/A |
| 3 | 111.28 | 108.10 | 111.27 | 08.54 | 97.15 | 92.27 | 120.76 |  | N/A |
| 4 | 74.72 | 76.57 | 72.59 | 17.04 | 105.48 | 59.72 | 97.14 |  | N/A |
| 6 | 68.72 | 72.19 | 58.28 | 24.74 | 123.87 | 52.14 | 101.11 | 52.14 | to 101.11 |
| 6 | 94.71 | 95.15 | 104.59 | 15.39 | 90.97 | 67.97 | 120.76 | 67.97 | to 120.76 |
| 2 | 58.74 | 58.74 | 58.49 | 01.67 | 100.43 | 57.76 | 59.72 |  | N/A |

57.76 to 101.11 60.94 to 108.59 95\% Wgt. Mean C.I. : 68.37 to 95.29


10 - Buffalo COUNTY

## COMMERCIAL IMPROVED



10 - Buffalo COUNTY

## COMMERCIAL IMPROVED



10 - Buffalo COUNTY

## COMMERCIAL IMPROVED



SUMMARY OF ADJUSTED PARAMETERS FOR CALCULATION FROM USER FILE

Strata
Change Value


10 - Buffalo COUNTY

## COMMERCIAL IMPROVED

Type : Qualified


## DATE OF SALE *

RANGE
$\qquad$ Qrtrs___
10/01/2020 To 12/31/2020 01/01/2021 To 03/31/2021 04/01/2021 To 06/30/2021 07/01/2021 To 09/30/2021 10/01/2021 To 12/31/2021 01/01/2022 T० 03/31/2022 04/01/2022 To 06/30/2022 07/01/2022 To 09/30/2022 10/01/2022 To 12/31/2022 01/01/2023 To 03/31/2023 04/01/2023 To 06/30/2023 07/01/2023 To 09/30/2023
$\qquad$ Study Yrs $\qquad$
10/01/2020 To 09/30/2021 10/01/2021 To 09/30/2022 10/01/2022 To 09/30/2023
$\qquad$ Calendar Yrs $\qquad$
$\qquad$
01/01/2021 To 12/31/2021 01/01/2022 To 12/31/2022

COUNT

| 3 | 109.40 | 108.05 | 111.08 | 04.07 | 97.27 | 100.69 | 114.05 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 109.18 | 102.64 | 108.20 | 09.94 | 94.86 | 83.10 | 115.65 |
| 2 | 91.70 | 91.70 | 89.53 | 08.43 | 102.42 | 83.97 | 99.43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 87.62 | 87.62 | 92.57 | 17.75 | 94.65 | 72.07 | 103.16 |
| 1 | 98.28 | 98.28 | 98.28 |  | 100.00 | 98.28 | 98.28 |
| 1 | 110.17 | 110.17 | 110.17 |  | 100.00 | 110.17 | 110.17 |
| 2 | 105.40 | 105.40 | 105.18 | 00.89 | 100.21 | 104.46 | 106.34 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 60.64 | 59.96 | 60.94 | 09.30 | 98.39 | 51.16 | 68.09 |

95\% Median C.I. Avg.Adj.SalePrice

| N/A | 220,333 | 244,738 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N/A | 491,000 | 531,253 |
| N/A | 222,569 | 199,265 |
|  |  |  |
| N/A | 227,500 | 210,593 |
| N/A | 162,000 | 159,220 |
| N/A | 155,250 | 171,045 |
| N/A | 326,000 | 342,895 |
|  |  |  |
| N/A | 423,333 | 257,997 |

10 - Buffalo COUNTY

## COMMERCIAL IMPROVED



10 - Buffalo COUNTY

## COMMERCIAL IMPROVED

Type : Qualified


## SALE PRICE *

RANGE
Less Than 5,000
Less Than 15,000
COUNT MEDIAN MEAN

$$
\text { Less Than } 30,000
$$

__Ranges Excl. Low \$__

$$
\text { Greater Than } 4,999
$$

| 0 | TO | 4,999 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 5,000 | TO | 14,999 |
| 15,000 | TO | 29,999 |
| 30,000 | TO | 59,999 |
| 60,000 | TO | 99,999 |
| 100,000 | TO | 149,999 |
| 150,000 | TO | 249,999 |
| 250,000 | TO | 499,999 |
| 500,000 | TO | 999,999 |
| $1,000,000$ | TO | $1,999,999$ |
| $2,000,000$ | TO | $4,999,999$ |
| $5,000,000$ | TO | $9,999,999$ |
| $10,000,000$ |  |  |


| 17 | 100.69 | 93.52 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | 100.69 | 93.52 |
| 17 | 100.69 | 93.52 |


| 93.63 | 14.94 | 99.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 93.63 | 14.94 | 99.8 |
| 93.63 | 14.94 | 99.8 |

Greater Than 15,000

310,199 290,455

$$
\text { Greater Than } 30,000
$$

_Incremental Ranges__

| 51.16 | 115.65 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 51.16 | 115.65 |
| 51.16 | 115.65 |

72.07 to 109.40
72.07 to 109.40
72.07 to 109.40
310,199
310,199
310,199 290,455
$10,000,000+$

10 - Buffalo COUNTY

## COMMERCIAL IMPROVED

| Number of Sales : | 17 |
| ---: | ---: |
| Total Sales Price : | $5,273,388$ |
| Total Adj. Sales Price : | $5,273,388$ |
| Total Assessed Value : | $4,937,735$ |
| Avg. Adj. Sales Price : | 310,199 |
| Avg. Assessed Value : | 290,455 |

PAD 2024 R\&O Statistics 2024 Values
Type : Qualified

| Median : | 101 | COV : | 21.31 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wgt. Mean : | 94 | STD : | 19.93 |
| Mean : | 94 | Avg.Abs.Dev : | 15.04 |
|  |  |  |  |
| COD : | 14.94 | MAX Sales Ratio : | 115.65 |
| PRD : | 99.88 | MIN Sales Ratio : | 51.16 |
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SUMMARY OF ADJUSTED PARAMETERS FOR CALCULATION FROM USER FILE

Strata
Change Value


## 10 Buffalo

AGRICULTURAL LAND

| Number of Sales : 66 | MEDIAN : 73 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Sales Price : $53,754,227$ | WGT. MEAN : 70 |
| Total Adj. Sales Price : $53,754,227$ | MEAN : 77 |
| Total Assessed Value : $37,463,250$ |  |
| Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 814,458 | COD : 22.33 |
| Avg. Assessed Value : 567,625 | PRD : 110.35 |

## PAD 2024 R\&O Statistics (Using 2024 Values)

Qualified
Date Range: 10/1/2020 To 9/30/2023 Posted on: 1/31/2024

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { COV : } 28.43 \\
& \text { STD : } 21.86
\end{aligned}
$$

Avg. Abs. Dev : 16.33
95\% Median C.I. : 70.43 to 79.66
95\% Wgt. Mean C.I. : 64.26 to 75.13
95\% Mean C.I. : 71.63 to 82.17
MAX Sales Ratio : 136.67
MIN Sales Ratio : 36.29

Printed:3/18/2024 9:34:17AM

| DATE OF SALE * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Avg. Adj. | Avg. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95\%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| Qrtrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01-OCT-20 TO 31-DEC-20 | 5 | 80.23 | 94.48 | 92.48 | 22.00 | 102.16 | 72.28 | 129.61 | N/A | 385,527 | 356,527 |
| 01-JAN-21 TO 31-MAR-21 | 10 | 78.24 | 81.03 | 81.24 | 09.73 | 99.74 | 64.81 | 111.83 | 72.57 to 87.39 | 764,790 | 621,344 |
| 01-APR-21 To 30-JUN-21 | 4 | 73.94 | 71.30 | 73.35 | 16.76 | 97.21 | 46.95 | 90.37 | N/A | 1,016,124 | 745,280 |
| 01-JUL-21 To 30-SEP-21 | 4 | 74.69 | 84.41 | 82.45 | 18.61 | 102.38 | 67.63 | 120.64 | N/A | 454,150 | 374,458 |
| 01-OCT-21 TO 31-DEC-21 | 6 | 77.49 | 79.55 | 79.17 | 12.52 | 100.48 | 66.07 | 95.53 | 66.07 to 95.53 | 397,448 | 314,641 |
| 01-JAN-22 To 31-MAR-22 | 10 | 70.52 | 78.51 | 69.66 | 20.14 | 112.70 | 53.96 | 114.29 | 60.47 to 106.74 | 969,964 | 675,635 |
| 01-APR-22 To 30-JUN-22 | 7 | 82.14 | 92.35 | 83.33 | 22.36 | 110.82 | 62.22 | 136.67 | 62.22 to 136.67 | 712,361 | 593,635 |
| 01-JUL-22 TO 30-SEP-22 | 1 | 71.00 | 71.00 | 71.00 | 00.00 | 100.00 | 71.00 | 71.00 | N/A | 398,979 | 283,295 |
| 01-OCT-22 TO 31-DEC-22 | 7 | 86.04 | 78.23 | 80.64 | 25.20 | 97.01 | 41.60 | 103.47 | 41.60 to 103.47 | 584,596 | 471,404 |
| 01-JAN-23 To 31-MAR-23 | 8 | 51.52 | 49.04 | 47.08 | 10.09 | 104.16 | 36.29 | 58.35 | 36.29 to 58.35 | 1,574,736 | 741,418 |
| 01-APR-23 To 30-JUN-23 | 2 | 59.72 | 59.72 | 64.27 | 15.84 | 92.92 | 50.26 | 69.17 | N/A | 1,350,000 | 867,615 |
| 01-JUL-23 TO 30-SEP-23 | 2 | 65.25 | 65.25 | 65.31 | 00.17 | 99.91 | 65.14 | 65.36 | N/A | 718,853 | 469,448 |
| Study Yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01-OCT-20 To 30-SEP-21 | 23 | 77.93 | 82.85 | 80.71 | 15.68 | 102.65 | 46.95 | 129.61 | 73.40 to 83.57 | 672,027 | 542,392 |
| 01-OCT-21 TO 30-SEP-22 | 24 | 73.91 | 82.50 | 74.89 | 20.69 | 110.16 | 53.96 | 136.67 | 70.43 to 91.49 | 727,910 | 545,122 |
| 01-OCT-22 To 30-SEP-23 | 19 | 53.80 | 62.63 | 57.16 | 29.65 | 109.57 | 36.29 | 103.47 | 48.58 to 69.17 | 1,096,198 | 626,595 |
| Calendar Yrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01-JAN-21 To 31-DEC-21 | 24 | 76.80 | 79.60 | 79.05 | 13.20 | 100.70 | 46.95 | 120.64 | 72.57 to 83.57 | 663,070 | 524,176 |
| 01-JAN-22 To 31-DEC-22 | 25 | 74.95 | 82.01 | 75.58 | 25.16 | 108.51 | 41.60 | 136.67 | 69.73 to 100.36 | 767,093 | 579,797 |
| ALL | 66 | 73.13 | 76.90 | 69.69 | 22.33 | 110.35 | 36.29 | 136.67 | 70.43 to 79.66 | 814,458 | 567,625 |
| AREA (MARKET) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Avg. Adj. | Avg. |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95\%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| 1 | 66 | 73.13 | 76.90 | 69.69 | 22.33 | 110.35 | 36.29 | 136.67 | 70.43 to 79.66 | 814,458 | 567,625 |
| ALL | 66 | 73.13 | 76.90 | 69.69 | 22.33 | 110.35 | 36.29 | 136.67 | 70.43 to 79.66 | 814,458 | 567,625 |

## 10 Buffalo <br> AGRICULTURAL LAND

| Number of Sales : 66 | MEDIAN : 73 |  |  |  | COV : 28.43 |  |  | 95\% Median C.I. : 70.43 to 79.66 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Sales Price : 53,754,227 | WGT. MEAN : 70 |  |  |  | STD : 21.86 |  |  | 95\% Wgt. Mean C.I. : 64.26 to 75.13 |  |  |  |
| Total Adj. Sales Price : 53,754,227 | MEAN : 77 |  |  |  | Avg. Abs. Dev: 16.33 |  |  | 95\% Mean C.I. : 71.63 to 82.17 |  |  |  |
| Total Assessed Value : 37,463,250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Printed:3/18/2024 |  |  | 9:34:17AM |
| Avg. Adj. Sales Price : 814,458 | COD : 22.33 |  |  |  | MAX Sales Ratio : 136.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg. Assessed Value : 567,625 |  |  | : 110.35 |  | MIN Sal | : 36.29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95\%MLU By Market Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Avg. Adj. | Avg. |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95\%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| Irrigated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 10 | 65.54 | 64.22 | 61.95 | 21.76 | 103.66 | 39.87 | 91.49 | 48.58 to 86.04 | 1,356,618 | 840,439 |
| 1 | 10 | 65.54 | 64.22 | 61.95 | 21.76 | 103.66 | 39.87 | 91.49 | 48.58 to 86.04 | 1,356,618 | 840,439 |
| Dry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 5 | 75.98 | 83.72 | 79.62 | 13.62 | 105.15 | 72.57 | 101.66 | N/A | 242,308 | 192,918 |
| 1 | 5 | 75.98 | 83.72 | 79.62 | 13.62 | 105.15 | 72.57 | 101.66 | N/A | 242,308 | 192,918 |
| Grass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 9 | 66.07 | 66.52 | 54.89 | 23.41 | 121.19 | 36.29 | 114.29 | 41.60 to 83.57 | 678,733 | 372,576 |
| 1 | 9 | 66.07 | 66.52 | 54.89 | 23.41 | 121.19 | 36.29 | 114.29 | 41.60 to 83.57 | 678,733 | 372,576 |
| ALL | 66 | 73.13 | 76.90 | 69.69 | 22.33 | 110.35 | 36.29 | 136.67 | 70.43 to 79.66 | 814,458 | 567,625 |
| 80\%MLU By Market Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Avg. Adj. | Avg. |
| RANGE | COUNT | MEDIAN | MEAN | WGT.MEAN | COD | PRD | MIN | MAX | 95\%_Median_C.I. | Sale Price | Assd. Val |
| _Irrigated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 25 | 70.64 | 69.46 | 65.35 | 18.05 | 106.29 | 39.87 | 120.64 | 60.47 to 75.23 | 1,189,698 | 777,430 |
| 1 | 25 | 70.64 | 69.46 | 65.35 | 18.05 | 106.29 | 39.87 | 120.64 | 60.47 to 75.23 | 1,189,698 | 777,430 |
| Dry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 6 | 74.42 | 81.81 | 78.38 | 12.42 | 104.38 | 72.28 | 101.66 | 72.28 to 101.66 | 242,862 | 190,358 |
| 1 | 6 | 74.42 | 81.81 | 78.38 | 12.42 | 104.38 | 72.28 | 101.66 | 72.28 to 101.66 | 242,862 | 190,358 |
| Grass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County | 12 | 68.68 | 71.44 | 59.07 | 23.85 | 120.94 | 36.29 | 114.29 | 53.96 to 83.57 | 606,466 | 358,224 |
| 1 | 12 | 68.68 | 71.44 | 59.07 | 23.85 | 120.94 | 36.29 | 114.29 | 53.96 to 83.57 | 606,466 | 358,224 |
| _ ALL | 66 | 73.13 | 76.90 | 69.69 | 22.33 | 110.35 | 36.29 | 136.67 | 70.43 to 79.66 | 814,458 | 567,625 |

## Buffalo County 2024 Average Acre Value Comparison

| County | Mkt <br> Area | $\mathbf{1 A 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 A}$ | $\mathbf{2 A 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 A}$ | $\mathbf{3 A 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 A}$ | $\mathbf{4 A 1}$ | 4A | WEIGHTED <br> AVG IRR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Buffalo | 1 | 5,812 | 5,614 | 5,614 | 5,476 | 4,237 | 5,125 | 4,763 | 4,763 | $\mathbf{5 , 3 7 3}$ |
| Custer | 1 | 4,638 | 4,240 | 4,240 | 4,134 | 3,869 | 3,869 | 3,816 | 3,813 | $\mathbf{4 , 2 8 9}$ |
| Dawson | 1 | 5,513 | 4,701 | 4,701 | 4,436 | 4,117 | 3,950 | 3,430 | 3,810 | $\mathbf{4 , 9 5 9}$ |
| Hall | 1 | 5,820 | 4,402 | 4,402 | 4,394 | 4,260 | 4,260 | 3,925 | 3,925 | $\mathbf{5 , 2 4 5}$ |
| Howard | 7200 | 4,700 | 4,300 | 4,300 | 4,200 | 3,800 | 3,700 | 3,500 | 3,400 | $\mathbf{4 , 2 8 4}$ |
| Kearney | 1 | 6,199 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 5,710 | 4,950 | 4,400 | 4,000 | 3,900 | $\mathbf{5 , 7 9 5}$ |
| Phelps | 1 | 6,698 | 5,450 | 5,450 | 4,998 | 4,750 | 4,650 | 4,500 | 4,056 | $\mathbf{6 , 2 8 1}$ |
| Sherman | 1 | 4,220 | 4,070 | 4,070 | 4,070 | 3,925 | 3,925 | 3,840 | 3,836 | $\mathbf{3 , 9 9 1}$ |


| County | Mkt <br> Area | $\mathbf{1 D 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 D}$ | $\mathbf{2 D 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 D}$ | 3D1 | 3D | 4D1 | 4D | WEIGHTED <br> AVG DRY |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Buffalo | 1 | 2,445 | 2,444 | 2,280 | 2,279 | 2,120 | 2,110 | 1,980 | 1,980 | $\mathbf{2 , 1 7 8}$ |
| Custer | 1 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2,150 | 2,025 | 1,950 | 1,900 | 1,725 | 1,700 | 1,700 | $\mathbf{1 , 9 3 9}$ |
| Dawson | 1 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2,573 | 2,573 | 2,333 | 2,321 | 2,095 | 1,810 | 1,793 | $\mathbf{2 , 2 8 3}$ |
| Hall | 1 | 2,800 | 2,811 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,115 | 2,115 | 1,888 | 1,897 | $\mathbf{2 , 4 5 2}$ |
| Howard | 7200 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,300 | 2,100 | 2,000 | 2,000 | $\mathbf{2 , 1 9 4}$ |
| Kearney | 1 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 3,800 | 3,250 | 3,250 | 2,790 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,200 | $\mathbf{3 , 5 0 7}$ |
| Phelps | 1 | 2,875 | 2,875 | 2,750 | 2,525 | 2,425 | 2,300 | 2,075 | 1,775 | $\mathbf{2 , 7 4 7}$ |
| Sherman | 1 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2,195 | 2,080 | 2,080 | 1,964 | 1,965 | 1,855 | 1,855 | $\mathbf{1 , 9 6 4}$ |


| County | Mkt <br> Area | $\mathbf{1 G 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 G}$ | $\mathbf{2 G 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 G}$ | $\mathbf{3 G 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 G}$ | $\mathbf{4 G 1}$ | 4G | WEIGHTED <br> AVG GRASS |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Buffalo | 1 | 1,499 | 1,499 | 1,468 | 1,445 | 1,423 | 1,395 | 1,365 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 4 9}$ |
| Custer | 1 | 868 | 1,060 | 1,012 | 755 | 1,014 | 880 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 1,017 | $\mathbf{9 6 8}$ |
| Dawson | 1 | 1,141 | 1,141 | 1,130 | 1,083 | 1,045 | 1,035 | 1,008 | 998 | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 4}$ |
| Hall | 1 | 1,455 | 1,459 | 1,390 | 1,390 | 1,315 | 1,315 | 1,275 | 1,275 | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 1}$ |
| Howard | 7200 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 1,175 | 1,175 | 1,175 | 1,175 | 1,175 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 5}$ |
| Kearney | 1 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 0}$ |
| Phelps | 1 | 1,393 | 1,349 | 1,300 | 1,248 | 1,200 | 1,151 | 960 | 1,050 | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 7}$ |
| Sherman | 1 | 1,495 | 1,495 | 1,435 | 1,435 | 1,305 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 1,062 | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 7}$ |


| County | Mkt <br> Area | CRP | TIMBER | WASTE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Buffalo | 1 | 1,314 | 541 | 490 |
| Custer | 1 | 1,543 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 50 |
| Dawson | 1 |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 50 |
| Hall | 1 |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 500 |
| Howard | 7200 | 1,224 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 776 |
| Kearney | 1 | 1,300 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 150 |
| Phelps | 1 | 1,006 | 1,000 | 35 |
| Sherman | 1 | 1,530 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 90 |

Source: 2024 Abstract of Assessment, Form 45, Schedule IX and Grass Detail from Schedule XIII. CRP and TIMBER values are weighted averages from Schedule XIII, line 104 and 113.

CHART 1 - REAL PROPERTY VALUATIONS - Cumulative \% Change 2013-2023
$\rightarrow$ ResRec
$\rightarrow$ Comm\&Indust
$\rightarrow$ Total Agland
$\longrightarrow$ Total Agland
$\_$Total Agland


| Tax <br> Year | Residential \& Recreational ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  | Commercial \& Industrial ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  | Total Agricultural Land ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | Amnt Value Chg | Ann.\%chg | Cmltv\%chg | Value | Amnt Value Chg | Ann.\%chg | Cmltv\%chg | Value | Amnt Value Chg | Ann.\%chg | Cmltv\%chg |
| 2013 | 1,679,939,745 |  |  |  | 706,453,840 |  |  |  | 1,051,527,895 |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 1,844,453,584 | 164,513,839 | 9.79\% | 9.79\% | 755,906,285 | 49,452,445 | 7.00\% | 7.00\% | 1,407,835,470 | 356,307,575 | 33.88\% | 33.88\% |
| 2015 | 2,103,240,308 | 258,786,724 | 14.03\% | 25.20\% | 797,240,490 | 41,334,205 | 5.47\% | 12.85\% | 1,813,556,080 | 405,720,610 | 28.82\% | 72.47\% |
| 2016 | 2,340,126,280 | 236,885,972 | 11.26\% | 39.30\% | 879,690,070 | 82,449,580 | 10.34\% | 24.52\% | 2,000,469,740 | 186,913,660 | 10.31\% | 90.24\% |
| 2017 | 2,428,083,828 | 87,957,548 | 3.76\% | 44.53\% | 982,177,540 | 102,487,470 | 11.65\% | 39.03\% | 1,999,142,100 | -1,327,640 | -0.07\% | 90.12\% |
| 2018 | 2,547,247,280 | 119,163,452 | 4.91\% | 51.63\% | 1,062,142,600 | 79,965,060 | 8.14\% | 50.35\% | 1,915,969,470 | -83,172,630 | -4.16\% | 82.21\% |
| 2019 | 2,666,523,844 | 119,276,564 | 4.68\% | 58.73\% | 1,104,906,075 | 42,763,475 | 4.03\% | 56.40\% | 1,814,602,515 | -101,366,955 | -5.29\% | 72.57\% |
| 2020 | 2,745,482,560 | 78,958,716 | 2.96\% | 63.43\% | 1,161,310,145 | 56,404,070 | 5.10\% | 64.39\% | 1,682,836,255 | -131,766,260 | -7.26\% | 60.04\% |
| 2021 | 2,784,750,365 | 39,267,805 | 1.43\% | 65.76\% | 1,115,057,091 | -46,253,054 | -3.98\% | 57.84\% | 1,585,780,440 | -97,055,815 | -5.77\% | 50.81\% |
| 2022 | 3,010,559,015 | 225,808,650 | 8.11\% | 79.21\% | 1,188,894,164 | 73,837,073 | 6.62\% | 68.29\% | 1,573,688,700 | -12,091,740 | -0.76\% | 49.66\% |
| 2023 | 3,330,364,614 | 319,805,599 | 10.62\% | 98.24\% | 1,249,475,070 | 60,580,906 | 5.10\% | 76.87\% | 1,749,142,871 | 175,454,171 | 11.15\% | 66.34\% |

Rate Annual \%chg: Residential \& Recreational $\quad 7.08 \%$ Commercial \& Industrial $\quad 5.87 \%$

CHART 1
(1) Residential \& Recreational excludes Agric. dwelling \& farm home site land. Commercial \& Industrial excludes minerals. Agricultural land includes irrigated, dry, grass, waste, \& other agland, excludes farm site land. Source: 2013-2023 Certificate of Taxes Levied Reports CTL NE Dept. of Revenue, Property Assessment Division Prepared as of 12/29/2023



| Tax | Irrigated Land |  |  |  | Dryland |  |  |  | Grassland |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Value | Value Chg | Ann\%chg | Cmitv\%chg | Value | Value Chg | Ann\%chg | Cmilv\%chg | Value | Value Chg | Ann\%chg | Cmltv\%chg |
| 2013 | 813,611,515 |  |  |  | 71,221,945 |  |  |  | 160,248,765 |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 1,082,676,515 | 269,065,000 | 33.07\% | 33.07\% | 102,302,845 | 31,080,900 | 43.64\% | 43.64\% | 217,358,255 | 57,109,490 | 35.64\% | 35.64\% |
| 2015 | 1,392,137,700 | 309,461,185 | 28.58\% | 71.11\% | 148,216,205 | 45,913,360 | 44.88\% | 108.10\% | 263,459,550 | 46,101,295 | 21.21\% | 64.41\% |
| 2016 | 1,496,611,720 | 104,474,020 | 7.50\% | 83.95\% | 152,136,130 | 3,919,925 | 2.64\% | 113.61\% | 344,326,805 | 80,867,255 | 30.69\% | 114.87\% |
| 2017 | 1,497,697,630 | 1,085,910 | 0.07\% | 84.08\% | 152,287,680 | 151,550 | 0.10\% | 113.82\% | 342,380,930 | -1,945,875 | -0.57\% | 113.66\% |
| 2018 | 1,449,650,420 | -48,047,210 | -3.21\% | 78.17\% | 148,776,145 | -3,511,535 | -2.31\% | 108.89\% | 310,017,215 | -32,363,715 | -9.45\% | 93.46\% |
| 2019 | 1,358,243,245 | -91,407,175 | -6.31\% | 66.94\% | 141,427,455 | -7,348,690 | -4.94\% | 98.57\% | 307,668,270 | -2,348,945 | -0.76\% | 91.99\% |
| 2020 | 1,266,621,340 | -91,621,905 | -6.75\% | 55.68\% | 120,865,120 | -20,562,335 | -14.54\% | 69.70\% | 285,180,205 | -22,488,065 | -7.31\% | 77.96\% |
| 2021 | 1,178,118,880 | -88,502,460 | -6.99\% | 44.80\% | 113,448,570 | -7,416,550 | -6.14\% | 59.29\% | 283,883,580 | -1,296,625 | -0.45\% | 77.15\% |
| 2022 | 1,171,080,540 | -7,038,340 | -0.60\% | 43.94\% | 111,489,455 | -1,959,115 | -1.73\% | 56.54\% | 280,386,540 | -3,497,040 | -1.23\% | 74.97\% |
| 2023 | 1,344,029,415 | 172,948,875 | 14.77\% | 65.19\% | 114,450,815 | 2,961,360 | 2.66\% | 60.70\% | 279,769,576 | -616,964 | -0.22\% | 74.58\% |
| Rate Ann.\%chg: |  | Irrigated | 5.15\% |  |  | Dryland | 4.86\% |  |  | Grassland | 5.73\% |  |
| Tax | Waste Land ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$ |  |  |  | Other Agland ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  | Total Agricultural |  |  |  |
| Year | Value | Value Chg | Ann\%chg | Cmitv\%chg | Value | Value Chg | Ann\%chg | Cmilv\%chg | Value | Value Chg | Ann\%chg | Cmitv\%chg |
| 2013 | 2,995,210 |  |  |  | 3,450,460 |  |  |  | 1,051,527,895 |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 4,498,440 | 1,503,230 | 50.19\% | 50.19\% | 999,415 | -2,451,045 | -71.04\% | -71.04\% | 1,407,835,470 | 356,307,575 | 33.88\% | 33.88\% |
| 2015 | 5,554,345 | 1,055,905 | 23.47\% | 85.44\% | 4,188,280 | 3,188,865 | 319.07\% | 21.38\% | 1,813,556,080 | 405,720,610 | 28.82\% | 72.47\% |
| 2016 | 4,716,725 | -837,620 | -15.08\% | 57.48\% | 2,678,360 | -1,509,920 | -36.05\% | -22.38\% | 2,000,469,740 | 186,913,660 | 10.31\% | 90.24\% |
| 2017 | 3,892,345 | -824,380 | -17.48\% | 29.95\% | 2,883,515 | 205,155 | 7.66\% | -16.43\% | 1,999,142,100 | -1,327,640 | -0.07\% | 90.12\% |
| 2018 | 3,615,880 | -276,465 | -7.10\% | 20.72\% | 3,909,810 | 1,026,295 | 35.59\% | 13.31\% | 1,915,969,470 | -83,172,630 | -4.16\% | 82.21\% |
| 2019 | 5,661,575 | 2,045,695 | 56.58\% | 89.02\% | 1,601,970 | -2,307,840 | -59.03\% | -53.57\% | 1,814,602,515 | -101,366,955 | -5.29\% | 72.57\% |
| 2020 | 8,707,905 | 3,046,330 | 53.81\% | 190.73\% | 1,461,685 | -140,285 | -8.76\% | -57.64\% | 1,682,836,255 | -131,766,260 | -7.26\% | 60.04\% |
| 2021 | 8,929,405 | 221,500 | 2.54\% | 198.12\% | 1,400,005 | -61,680 | -4.22\% | -59.43\% | 1,585,780,440 | -97,055,815 | -5.77\% | 50.81\% |
| 2022 | 9,244,585 | 315,180 | 3.53\% | 208.65\% | 1,487,580 | 87,575 | 6.26\% | -56.89\% | 1,573,688,700 | -12,091,740 | -0.76\% | 49.66\% |
| 2023 | 9,177,125 | -67,460 | -0.73\% | 206.39\% | 1,715,940 | 228,360 | 15.35\% | -50.27\% | 1,749,142,871 | 175,454,171 | 11.15\% | 66.34\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cnty\# } \\ & \text { County } \end{aligned}$ | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rate Ann.\%chg: | Total Agric Land | 5.22\% |  |
|  | BUFFALO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: 2013-2023 Certificate of Taxes Levied Reports CTL |  |  | NE Dept. of Revenue, Property Assessment Division |  |  | Prepared as of 12/29/2023 |  |  | CHART 3 |  |  |  |

CHART 4 - AGRICULTURAL LAND - AVERAGE VALUE PER ACRE - Cumulative \% Change 2013-2023 (from County Abstract Reports)(1)


|  | WASTE LAND (2) |  |  |  |  | OTHER AGLAND (2) |  |  |  |  | TOTAL AGRICULTURAL LAND (1) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax Year | Value | Acres | Avg Value per Acre | Ann\%chg AvgVal/acre | Cmitv\%chg AvgVal/Acre | Value | Acres | Avg Value per Acre | Ann\%chg AvgVal/acre | Cmltv\%chg AvgVal/Acre | Value | Acres | Avg Value per Acre | Ann\%chg AvgVal/acre | Cmitv\%chg AvgVal/Acre |
| 2013 | 5,124,160 | 13,657 | 375 |  |  | 466,320 | 1,362 | 342 |  |  | 1,050,082,000 | 552,833 | 1,899 |  |  |
| 2014 | 4,424,090 | 11,221 | 394 | 5.08\% | 5.08\% | 221,305 | 649 | 341 | -0.38\% | -0.38\% | 1,410,774,365 | 560,044 | 2,519 | 32.62\% | 32.62\% |
| 2015 | 5,536,235 | 10,879 | 509 | 29.07\% | 35.64\% | 2,750,065 | 5,103 | 539 | 58.02\% | 57.42\% | 1,813,659,345 | 571,056 | 3,176 | 26.08\% | 67.20\% |
| 2016 | 6,561,565 | 10,431 | 629 | 23.61\% | 67.66\% | 3,174,270 | 5,363 | 592 | 9.82\% | 72.88\% | 2,012,618,820 | 565,617 | 3,558 | 12.04\% | 87.33\% |
| 2017 | 4,365,570 | 11,370 | 384 | -38.96\% | 2.33\% | 2,354,085 | 5,037 | 467 | -21.04\% | 36.51\% | 2,000,700,425 | 565,976 | 3,535 | -0.66\% | 86.10\% |
| 2018 | 3,549,615 | 9,131 | 389 | 1.24\% | 3.60\% | 3,909,175 | 8,481 | 461 | -1.38\% | 34.63\% | 1,916,118,815 | 566,367 | 3,383 | -4.29\% | 78.11\% |
| 2019 | 5,818,625 | 14,538 | 400 | 2.96\% | 6.67\% | 1,233,250 | 2,046 | 603 | 30.78\% | 76.07\% | 1,817,340,915 | 567,526 | 3,202 | -5.35\% | 68.59\% |
| 2020 | 8,667,185 | 18,270 | 474 | 18.53\% | 26.44\% | 1,461,685 | 2,043 | 715 | 18.67\% | 108.95\% | 1,685,471,415 | 567,136 | 2,972 | -7.19\% | 56.46\% |
| 2021 | 8,952,525 | 18,859 | 475 | 0.06\% | 26.52\% | 1,400,005 | 1,978 | 708 | -1.08\% | 106.70\% | 1,587,017,500 | 567,278 | 2,798 | -5.86\% | 47.28\% |
| 2022 | 9,262,775 | 18,735 | 494 | 4.15\% | 31.77\% | 1,487,580 | 1,985 | 750 | 5.92\% | 118.93\% | 1,573,970,975 | 567,346 | 2,774 | -0.83\% | 46.06\% |
| 2023 | 9,190,945 | 18,535 | 496 | 0.29\% | 32.16\% | 1,731,610 | 2,234 | 775 | 3.43\% | 126.44\% | 1,749,127,580 | 567,003 | 3,085 | 11.20\% | 62.41\% |

1) Valuations from County Abstracts vs Certificate of Taxes Levied Reports (CTL) will vary due to different reporting dates. Source: 2013-2023 County Abstract Reports Agland Assessment Level 1998 to $2006=80 \% ; 2007 \&$ forward $=75 \%$ NE Dept. of Revenue, Property Assessment Division Prepared as of 12/29/2023

CHART 5-2023 County and Municipal Valuations by Property Type

| Pop. | County: | Personal Prop | StateAsd PP | StateAsdReal | Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Recreation | Agland | Agdwell\&HS | AglmprukFS | Minerals | Total Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50,084 | BUFFALO | 356,192,355 | 87,284,040 | 255,712,990 | 3,327,330,779 | 1,113,226,415 | 136,248,655 | 3,033,835 | 1,749,142,871 | 238,676,530 | 68,311,720 | 43,250 | 7,335,203,440 |
| cnty sectorval | ue \% of total value: | 4.86\% | 1.19\% | 3.49\% | 45.36\% | 15.18\% | $1.86 \%$ | 0.04\% | 23.85\% | 3.25\% | 0.93\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Pop. | Municipality: | Personal Prop | StateAsd PP | StateAsd Real | Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Recreation | Agland | Agdwell\& HS | Aglmpri\&f | Minerals | Total Value |
| 201 | AMHERST | 48,811 | 208,715 | 20,943 | 14,099,550 | 1,886,180 | 0 | 0 | 18,495 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,282,694 |
| 0.40\% | \%sector of county sector | 0.01\% | $0.24 \%$ | 0.01\% | 0.42\% | $0.17 \%$ |  |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  | 0.22\% |
|  | \%sector of municipality | 0.30\% | 1.28\% | 0.13\% | 86.59\% | 11.58\% |  |  | 0.11\% |  |  |  | 100.00\% |
| 979 | ELM CREEK | 642,444 | 2,029,472 | 5,910,419 | 51,572,255 | 9,207,590 | 0 | 0 | 193,480 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69,555,660 |
| 1.95\% | \%sector of county sector | 0.18\% | 2.33\% | 2.31\% | 1.55\% | 0.83\% |  |  | 0.01\% |  |  |  | 0.95\% |
|  | \%sector of municipality | 0.92\% | 2.92\% | 8.50\% | 74.15\% | 13.24\% |  |  | 0.28\% |  |  |  | 100.00\% |
| 1,878 | GIBBON | 18,054,396 | 2,899,780 | 4,974,428 | 91,519,113 | 17,361,982 | 4,961,560 | 0 | 23,930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139,795,189 |
| 3.75\% | \%sector of county sector | 5.07\% | 3.32\% | 1.95\% | 2.75\% | 1.56\% | 3.64\% |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  | 1.91\% |
|  | \%sector of municipality | 12.91\% | 2.07\% | 3.56\% | 65.47\% | 12.42\% | 3.55\% |  | 0.02\% |  |  |  | 100.00\% |
| 33,792 | KEARNEY | 86,267,564 | 40,694,051 | 31,718,659 | 2,193,581,720 | 953,626,088 | 30,033,085 | 32,815 | 0 | 116,795 | 839,910 | 2,595 | 3,336,913,282 |
| 67.47\% | \%sector of county sector | 24.22\% | 46.62\% | 12.40\% | 65.93\% | 85.66\% | 22.04\% | 1.08\% |  | 0.05\% | 1.23\% | 6.00\% | 45.49\% |
|  | \%sector of municipality | 2.59\% | 1.22\% | 0.95\% | 65.74\% | 28.58\% | 0.90\% | 0.00\% |  | 0.00\% | 0.03\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| 129 | MILLER | 2,130,628 | 63,945 | 7,599 | 4,666,865 | 663,905 | 0 | 0 | 19,025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,551,967 |
| $0.26 \%$ | \%sector of county sector | 0.60\% | 0.07\% | 0.00\% | $0.14 \%$ | $0.06 \%$ |  |  | 0.00\% |  |  |  | 0.10\% |
|  | \%sector of municipality | 28.21\% | 0.85\% | 0.10\% | 61.80\% | 8.79\% |  |  | 0.25\% |  |  |  | 100.00\% |
| 361 | PLEASANTON | 1,993,575 | 407,406 | 129,676 | 23,774,860 | 2,730,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,036,267 |
| $0.72 \%$ | \%sector of county sector | 0.56\% | 0.47\% | 0.05\% | $0.71 \%$ | 0.25\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.40\% |
|  | \%sector of municipality | 6.87\% | 1.40\% | 0.45\% | 81.88\% | 9.40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.00\% |
| 1,441 | RAVENNA | 60,432,869 | 1,852,990 | 6,514,121 | 63,142,150 | 9,587,115 | 40,730,715 | 0 | 524,490 | 80,010 | 19,200 | 0 | 182,883,660 |
| 2.88\% | \%sector of county sector | 16.97\% | 2.12\% | 2.55\% | 1.90\% | $0.86 \%$ | 29.89\% |  | 0.03\% | 0.03\% | 0.03\% |  | 2.49\% |
|  | \%sector of municipality | 33.04\% | 1.01\% | 3.56\% | 34.53\% | 5.24\% | 22.27\% |  | 0.29\% | 0.04\% | 0.01\% |  | 100.00\% |
| 247 | RIVERDALE | 384,181 | 227,327 | 24,098 | 15,109,410 | 2,865,585 | 0 | 0 | 447,050 | 229,350 | 135,365 | 5 | 19,422,371 |
| 0.49\% | \%sector of county sector | 0.11\% | 0.26\% | 0.01\% | 0.45\% | $0.26 \%$ |  |  | 0.03\% | 0.10\% | 0.20\% | 0.01\% | 0.26\% |
|  | \%sector of municipality | 1.98\% | 1.17\% | 0.12\% | 77.79\% | 14.75\% |  |  | 2.30\% | 1.18\% | 0.70\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| 1,034 | SHELTON | 919,695 | 2,954,575 | 4,637,929 | 54,826,415 | 6,902,510 | 0 | 0 | 741,905 | 0 | 2,045 | 0 | 70,985,074 |
| 2.06\% | \%sector of county sector | 0.26\% | 3.39\% | 1.81\% | 1.65\% | 0.62\% |  |  | 0.04\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 0.97\% |
|  | \%sector of municipality | 1.30\% | 4.16\% | 6.53\% | 77.24\% | 9.72\% |  |  | 1.05\% |  | 0.00\% |  | 100.00\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \%sector of county sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \%sector of municipality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \%sector of county sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \%sector of municipality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \%sector of county sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \%sector of municipality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \%sector of county sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \%sector of municipaliy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \%sector of county sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \%sector of municipality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \%sector of county sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \%sector of municipality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40,063 | Total Municipalities | 170,874,164 | 51,338,262 | 53,937,872 | 2,512,292,345 | 1,004,831,707 | 75,725,361 | 32,815 | 1,968,375 | 426,155 | 996,520 | 2,600 | 3,872,426,174 |
| 79.99\% | \%all municip.sectors of cnty | 47.97\% | 58.82\% | 21.09\% | 75.50\% | 90.26\% | 55.58\% | 1.08\% | 0.11\% | 0.18\% | 1.46\% | 6.01\% | 52.79\% |




| 30. Ag Total |  |  |  |  |  | 5,332 | 2,209,293,405 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule VI : Agricultural Records :Non-Agricultural Detail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Records | Urban <br> Acres | Value | Records | SubUrban <br> Acres | Value |  |
| 31. HomeSite UnImp Land | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 6 | 7.00 | 175,000 |  |
| 32. HomeSite Improv Land | 1 | 1.00 | 25,000 | 148 | 151.00 | 3,775,000 |  |
| 33. HomeSite Improvements | 2 | 0.00 | 88,590 | 151 | 0.00 | 34,351,450 |  |
| 34. HomeSite Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35. FarmSite UnImp Land | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 9 | 13.24 | 29,130 |  |
| 36. FarmSite Improv Land | 2 | 1.50 | 3,300 | 170 | 193.27 | 425,200 |  |
| 37. FarmSite Improvements | 6 | 0.00 | 11,625 | 205 | 0.00 | 8,884,455 |  |
| 38. FarmSite Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39. Road \& Ditches | 3 | 1.80 | 0 | 383 | 681.69 | 0 |  |
| 40. Other- Non Ag Use | $2$ <br> Records | $\begin{gathered} 15.61 \\ \text { Rural } \\ \text { Acres } \end{gathered}$ | $46,865$ <br> Value | 7 Records | $146.20$ Acres | $168,265$ <br> Value | Growth |
| 31. HomeSite UnImp Land | 23 | 23.00 | 575,000 | 29 | 30.00 | 750,000 |  |
| 32. HomeSite Improv Land | 1,002 | 1,028.26 | 25,706,500 | 1,151 | 1,180.26 | 29,506,500 |  |
| 33. HomeSite Improvements | 1,053 | 0.00 | 200,020,590 | 1,206 | 0.00 | 234,460,630 | 365,835 |
| 34. HomeSite Total |  |  |  | 1,235 | 1,210.26 | 264,717,130 |  |
| 35. FarmSite UnImp Land | 51 | 60.60 | 133,320 | 60 | 73.84 | 162,450 |  |
| 36. FarmSite Improv Land | 1,170 | 1,687.62 | 3,710,995 | 1,342 | 1,882.39 | 4,139,495 |  |
| 37. FarmSite Improvements | 1,396 | 0.00 | 64,537,040 | 1,607 | 0.00 | 73,433,120 | 8,060,590 |
| 38. FarmSite Total |  |  |  | 1,667 | 1,956.23 | 77,735,065 |  |
| 39. Road \& Ditches | 3,686 | 9,575.87 | 0 | 4,072 | 10,259.36 | 0 |  |
| 40. Other- Non Ag Use | 21 | 552.62 | 768,655 | 30 | 714.43 | 983,785 |  |
| 41. Total Section VI |  |  |  | 2,902 | 14,140.28 | 343,435,980 | 8,426,425 |


|  | Urban |  |  | SubUrban |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Records | Acres | Value | Records | Acres | Value |
| 42. Game \& Parks | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 |
|  | Records | Rural <br> Acres | Value | Records | Total <br> Acres | Value |
| 42. Game \& Parks | 6 | 154.12 | 104,885 | 6 | 154.12 | 104,885 |
| Schedule VIII : Agricultural Records : Special Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Records | Urban Acres | Value | Records | SubUrb <br> Acres | Value |
| 43. Special Value | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 334 | 22,213.35 | 92,784,660 |
| 44. Market Value | Records | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ \text { Rural } \\ \text { Acres } \end{gathered}$ | 0 Value |  | $22,213.35$ <br> Total Acres | $122,861,840$ <br> Value |
| 43. Special Value | 516 | 43,876.03 | 143,214,265 | 850 | 66,089.38 | 235,998,925 |
| 44. Market Value | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## County 10 Buffalo

2024 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45
Schedule IX : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Market Area Detail Market Area 1

| Irrigated | Acres | \% of Acres* | Value | \% of Value* | Average Assessed Value* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45. 1A1 | 70,621.88 | 31.30\% | 411,325,230 | 33.93\% | 5,824.33 |
| 46. 1A | 29,101.13 | 12.90\% | 169,137,955 | 13.95\% | 5,812.08 |
| 47. 2A1 | 25,449.18 | 11.28\% | 142,879,360 | 11.79\% | 5,614.30 |
| 48. 2A | 19,262.51 | 8.54\% | 105,484,090 | 8.70\% | 5,476.13 |
| 49.3A1 | 8,145.02 | 3.61\% | 34,510,385 | 2.85\% | 4,236.99 |
| 50.3A | 2,614.46 | 1.16\% | 13,399,245 | 1.11\% | 5,125.05 |
| 51.4A1 | 50,548.28 | 22.40\% | 240,767,065 | 19.86\% | 4,763.11 |
| 52.4A | 19,901.64 | 8.82\% | 94,786,020 | 7.82\% | 4,762.72 |
| 53. Total | 225,644.10 | 100.00\% | 1,212,289,350 | 100.00\% | 5,372.57 |
| Dry |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54. 1D1 | 209.79 | 0.41\% | 512,945 | 0.46\% | 2,445.04 |
| 55. 1D | 15,617.67 | 30.47\% | 38,166,925 | 34.20\% | 2,443.83 |
| 56. 2D1 | 4,832.31 | 9.43\% | 11,017,655 | 9.87\% | 2,280.00 |
| 57. 2D | 3,899.05 | 7.61\% | 8,887,550 | 7.96\% | 2,279.41 |
| 58.3D1 | 989.08 | 1.93\% | 2,096,840 | 1.88\% | 2,119.99 |
| 59.3D | 283.43 | 0.55\% | 598,025 | 0.54\% | 2,109.96 |
| 60.4D1 | 19,485.54 | 38.02\% | 38,579,790 | 34.57\% | 1,979.92 |
| 61. 4D | 5,931.57 | 11.57\% | 11,744,155 | 10.52\% | 1,979.94 |
| 62. Total | 51,248.44 | 100.00\% | 111,603,885 | 100.00\% | 2,177.70 |
| Grass |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63. 1G1 | 21,885.31 | 10.48\% | 32,726,590 | 11.07\% | 1,495.37 |
| 64. 1G | 4,307.41 | 2.06\% | 5,947,960 | 2.01\% | 1,380.87 |
| 65. 2G1 | 31,590.39 | 15.13\% | 45,906,130 | 15.53\% | 1,453.17 |
| 66. 2G | 84,416.73 | 40.44\% | 121,499,880 | 41.10\% | 1,439.29 |
| 67.3G1 | 59,987.23 | 28.74\% | 85,273,535 | 28.84\% | 1,421.53 |
| 68. 3G | 1,029.82 | 0.49\% | 770,810 | 0.26\% | 748.49 |
| 69.4G1 | 1,641.16 | 0.79\% | 1,430,360 | 0.48\% | 871.55 |
| 70. 4G | 3,875.54 | 1.86\% | 2,073,905 | 0.70\% | 535.13 |
| 71. Total | 208,733.59 | 100.00\% | 295,629,170 | 100.00\% | 1,416.30 |
| Irrigated Total | 225,644.10 | 45.25\% | 1,212,289,350 | 74.56\% | 5,372.57 |
| Dry Total | 51,248.44 | 10.28\% | 111,603,885 | 6.86\% | 2,177.70 |
| Grass Total | 208,733.59 | 41.86\% | 295,629,170 | 18.18\% | 1,416.30 |
| 72. Waste | 12,073.54 | 2.42\% | 5,916,040 | 0.36\% | 490.00 |
| 73. Other | 953.41 | 0.19\% | 461,655 | 0.03\% | 484.21 |
| 74. Exempt | 822.10 | 0.16\% | 1,217,010 | 0.07\% | 1,480.37 |
| 75. Market Area Total | 498,653.08 | 100.00\% | 1,625,900,100 | 100.00\% | 3,260.58 |

## County 10 Buffalo

2024 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45
Schedule IX : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Market Area Detail Market Area 2

| Irrigated | Acres | \% of Acres* | Value | \% of Value* | Average Assessed Value* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45. 1A1 | 16,476.44 | 58.97\% | 95,975,555 | 60.72\% | 5,825.02 |
| 46. 1A | 1,959.54 | 7.01\% | 11,414,430 | 7.22\% | 5,825.06 |
| 47. 2A1 | 4,161.86 | 14.90\% | 23,389,615 | 14.80\% | 5,619.99 |
| 48. 2A | 2,206.97 | 7.90\% | 12,105,285 | 7.66\% | 5,485.02 |
| 49.3A1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 50.3A | 763.60 | 2.73\% | 3,913,485 | 2.48\% | 5,125.05 |
| 51.4A1 | 1,866.15 | 6.68\% | 8,892,245 | 5.63\% | 4,765.02 |
| 52.4A | 505.31 | 1.81\% | 2,382,795 | 1.51\% | 4,715.51 |
| 53. Total | 27,939.87 | 100.00\% | 158,073,410 | 100.00\% | 5,657.63 |
| Dry |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54. 1D1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 55. 1D | 1,721.92 | 54.74\% | 4,210,175 | 58.65\% | 2,445.05 |
| 56. 2D1 | 329.27 | 10.47\% | 750,745 | 10.46\% | 2,280.03 |
| 57. 2D | 107.83 | 3.43\% | 245,850 | 3.42\% | 2,279.98 |
| 58.3D1 | 111.99 | 3.56\% | 237,415 | 3.31\% | 2,119.97 |
| 59.3D | 16.35 | 0.52\% | 34,500 | 0.48\% | 2,110.09 |
| 60.4D1 | 565.12 | 17.96\% | 1,118,950 | 15.59\% | 1,980.02 |
| 61. 4D | 293.35 | 9.33\% | 580,840 | 8.09\% | 1,980.02 |
| 62. Total | 3,145.83 | 100.00\% | 7,178,475 | 100.00\% | 2,281.90 |
| Grass |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63. 1G1 | 2,427.46 | 23.71\% | 3,637,665 | 25.84\% | 1,498.55 |
| 64. 1G | 145.57 | 1.42\% | 112,325 | 0.80\% | 771.62 |
| 65. 2G1 | 1,640.98 | 16.03\% | 2,397,170 | 17.03\% | 1,460.82 |
| 66. 2G | 3,791.15 | 37.03\% | 5,446,600 | 38.68\% | 1,436.66 |
| 67.3G1 | 1,448.13 | 14.14\% | 2,057,005 | 14.61\% | 1,420.46 |
| 68. 3G | 40.44 | 0.40\% | 55,290 | 0.39\% | 1,367.21 |
| 69.4G1 | 75.75 | 0.74\% | 39,320 | 0.28\% | 519.08 |
| 70. 4G | 668.32 | 6.53\% | 334,160 | 2.37\% | 500.00 |
| 71. Total | 10,237.80 | 100.00\% | 14,079,535 | 100.00\% | 1,375.25 |
| Irrigated Total | 27,939.87 | 66.83\% | 158,073,410 | 88.03\% | 5,657.63 |
| Dry Total | 3,145.83 | 7.52\% | 7,178,475 | 4.00\% | 2,281.90 |
| Grass Total | 10,237.80 | 24.49\% | 14,079,535 | 7.84\% | 1,375.25 |
| 72. Waste | 462.42 | 1.11\% | 226,605 | 0.13\% | 490.04 |
| 73. Other | 19.20 | 0.05\% | 9,410 | 0.01\% | 490.10 |
| 74. Exempt | 1,326.95 | 3.17\% | 5,615,190 | 3.13\% | 4,231.65 |
| 75. Market Area Total | 41,805.12 | 100.00\% | 179,567,435 | 100.00\% | 4,295.35 |

## County 10 Buffalo

2024 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45
Schedule IX : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Market Area Detail Market Area 6

| Irrigated | Acres | \% of Acres* | Value | \% of Value* | Average Assessed Value* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45. 1A1 | 527.51 | 6.54\% | 1,612,720 | 3.82\% | 3,057.23 |
| 46. 1A | 11.95 | 0.15\% | 69,610 | 0.16\% | 5,825.10 |
| 47. 2A1 | 4,351.26 | 53.96\% | 24,454,065 | 57.89\% | 5,620.00 |
| 48. 2A | 809.51 | 10.04\% | 4,440,175 | 10.51\% | 5,485.02 |
| 49.3A1 | 160.39 | 1.99\% | 387,340 | 0.92\% | 2,414.99 |
| 50.3A | 2,168.79 | 26.89\% | 11,115,125 | 26.31\% | 5,125.04 |
| 51.4A1 | 12.50 | 0.16\% | 59,565 | 0.14\% | 4,765.20 |
| 52.4A | 22.50 | 0.28\% | 107,215 | 0.25\% | 4,765.11 |
| 53. Total | 8,064.41 | 100.00\% | 42,245,815 | 100.00\% | 5,238.55 |
| Dry |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54. 1D1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 55. 1D | 4.50 | 0.57\% | 11,005 | 0.68\% | 2,445.56 |
| 56. 2D1 | 178.04 | 22.73\% | 405,920 | 24.96\% | 2,279.94 |
| 57.2D | 13.59 | 1.74\% | 30,985 | 1.91\% | 2,279.99 |
| 58.3D1 | 113.45 | 14.49\% | 240,520 | 14.79\% | 2,120.05 |
| 59.3D | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 60.4D1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 61. 4D | 473.53 | 60.47\% | 937,580 | 57.66\% | 1,979.98 |
| 62. Total | 783.11 | 100.00\% | 1,626,010 | 100.00\% | 2,076.35 |
| Grass |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63. 1G1 | 6,908.25 | 66.48\% | 10,349,770 | 84.76\% | 1,498.18 |
| 64. 1G | 149.81 | 1.44\% | 224,720 | 1.84\% | 1,500.03 |
| 65. 2G1 | 8.65 | 0.08\% | 12,715 | 0.10\% | 1,469.94 |
| 66. 2G | 109.36 | 1.05\% | 78,880 | 0.65\% | 721.29 |
| 67.3G1 | 23.50 | 0.23\% | 33,490 | 0.27\% | 1,425.11 |
| 68. 3G | 182.25 | 1.75\% | 101,160 | 0.83\% | 555.06 |
| 69.4G1 | 8.65 | 0.08\% | 3,980 | 0.03\% | 460.12 |
| 70. 4G | 3,001.64 | 28.88\% | 1,406,335 | 11.52\% | 468.52 |
| 71. Total | 10,392.11 | 100.00\% | 12,211,050 | 100.00\% | 1,175.03 |
| Irrigated Total | 8,064.41 | 30.41\% | 42,245,815 | 69.96\% | 5,238.55 |
| Dry Total | 783.11 | 2.95\% | 1,626,010 | 2.69\% | 2,076.35 |
| Grass Total | 10,392.11 | 39.18\% | 12,211,050 | 20.22\% | 1,175.03 |
| 72. Waste | 6,051.17 | 22.82\% | 3,075,835 | 5.09\% | 508.30 |
| 73. Other | 1,231.18 | 4.64\% | 1,231,180 | 2.04\% | 1,000.00 |
| 74. Exempt | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 75. Market Area Total | 26,521.98 | 100.00\% | 60,389,890 | 100.00\% | 2,276.98 |

## County 10 Buffalo

2024 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45
Schedule IX : Agricultural Records : Ag Land Market Area Detail Market Area 19

| Irrigated | Acres | \% of Acres* | Value | \% of Value* | Average Assessed Value* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45. 1A1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 46. 1A | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 47. 2A1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 48. 2A | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 49.3A1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 50.3A | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 51.4A1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 52.4A | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 53. Total | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| Dry |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54. 1D1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 55. 1D | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 56.2D1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 57. 2D | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 58.3D1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 59.3D | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 60. 4D1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 61.4D | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 62. Total | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| Grass |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63. 1G1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 64. 1G | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 65. 2G1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 66. 2G | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 67.3G1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 68.3G | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 69.4G1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 70. 4G | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 71. Total | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigated Total | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| Dry Total | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| Grass Total | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 72. Waste | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 73. Other | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 74. Exempt | 19.22 | 0.00\% | 26,915 | 0.00\% | 1,400.36 |
| 75. Market Area Total | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |

Schedule X : Agricultural Records :Ag Land Total

|  | Urban |  | SubUrban |  | Rural |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acres | Value | Acres | Value | Acres | Value | Acres | Value |
| 76. Irrigated | 151.91 | 814,815 | 28,153.39 | 158,925,610 | 233,343.08 | 1,252,868,150 | 261,648.38 | 1,412,608,575 |
| 77. Dry Land | 57.26 | 133,610 | 2,063.72 | 4,742,170 | 53,056.40 | 115,532,590 | 55,177.38 | 120,408,370 |
| 78. Grass | 76.45 | 78,435 | 9,911.98 | 13,341,145 | 219,375.07 | 308,500,175 | 229,363.50 | 321,919,755 |
| 79. Waste | 29.12 | 14,265 | 2,465.41 | 1,266,685 | 16,092.60 | 7,937,530 | 18,587.13 | 9,218,480 |
| 80. Other | 0.00 | 0 | 348.39 | 323,730 | 1,855.40 | 1,378,515 | 2,203.79 | 1,702,245 |
| 81. Exempt | 0.00 | 0 | 1,152.87 | 5,276,125 | 1,015.40 | 1,582,990 | 2,168.27 | 6,859,115 |
| 82. Total | 314.74 | 1,041,125 | 42,942.89 | 178,599,340 | 523,722.55 | 1,686,216,960 | 566,980.18 | 1,865,857,425 |


|  | Acres | \% of Acres* | Value | \% of Value* | Average Assessed Value* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irrigated | 261,648.38 | 46.15\% | 1,412,608,575 | $75.71 \%$ | 5,398.88 |
| Dry Land | 55,177.38 | 9.73\% | 120,408,370 | 6.45\% | 2,182.21 |
| Grass | 229,363.50 | 40.45\% | 321,919,755 | 17.25\% | 1,403.54 |
| Waste | 18,587.13 | 3.28\% | 9,218,480 | 0.49\% | 495.96 |
| Other | 2,203.79 | 0.39\% | 1,702,245 | 0.09\% | 772.42 |
| Exempt | 2,168.27 | 0.38\% | 6,859,115 | 0.37\% | 3,163.40 |
| Total | 566,980.18 | 100.00\% | 1,865,857,425 | 100.00\% | 3,290.87 |

## County 10 Buffalo

2024 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45
Schedule XI : Residential Records - Assessor Location Detail

| Line\# IAssessor Location | Unimproved Land |  | Improved Land |  | Improvements |  | Total |  | Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Records | Value | Records | Value | Records | Value | Records | Value |  |
| 83.1 N/a Or Error | 2 | 38,705 | 2 | 50,000 | 2 | 394,430 | 4 | 483,135 | 0 |
| 83.2 Area 1 (east Ky South) | 61 | 1,656,930 | 1,118 | 39,044,545 | 1,089 | 139,288,830 | 1,150 | 179,990,305 | 567,015 |
| 83.3 Area 2 (west Ky South) | 26 | 913,000 | 1,738 | 65,954,400 | 1,726 | 308,381,505 | 1,752 | 375,248,905 | 1,721,375 |
| 83.4 Area 3 (east Ky Middle) | 98 | 1,622,765 | 2,321 | 91,509,590 | 2,321 | 381,260,025 | 2,419 | 474,392,380 | 5,746,596 |
| 83.5 Area 4 (west Ky Middle) | 61 | 2,497,205 | 1,394 | 63,734,125 | 1,394 | 330,760,825 | 1,455 | 396,992,155 | 894,965 |
| 83.6 Area 5 (east Ky North) | 113 | 4,207,060 | 1,425 | 76,269,335 | 1,425 | 425,665,990 | 1,538 | 506,142,385 | 5,362,225 |
| 83.7 Area 6 (west Ky North) | 143 | 5,707,325 | 1,089 | 59,277,405 | 1,089 | 341,743,020 | 1,232 | 406,727,750 | 6,102,380 |
| 83.8 Area 8 (rural Res Subs) | 359 | 16,056,855 | 1,524 | 70,795,290 | 1,525 | 506,469,915 | 1,884 | 593,322,060 | 9,862,330 |
| 83.9 Elm Creek Res | 24 | 448,495 | 346 | 6,450,400 | 346 | 45,961,735 | 370 | 52,860,630 | 769,600 |
| 83.10 Gibbon Res | 33 | 468,885 | 563 | 8,577,770 | 555 | 81,256,030 | 588 | 90,302,685 | 246,770 |
| 83.11 Mobile Homes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,229 | 12,808,950 | 1,229 | 12,808,950 | 390 |
| 83.12 Ravenna Res | 22 | 96,820 | 579 | 2,930,285 | 574 | 64,506,075 | 596 | 67,533,180 | 336,685 |
| 83.13 Recreational South | 46 | 1,625,790 | 13 | 720,760 | 13 | 1,131,980 | 59 | 3,478,530 | 46,420 |
| 83.14 Rural Res Acreages | 95 | 4,546,235 | 1,037 | 56,808,065 | 1,057 | 237,222,950 | 1,152 | 298,577,250 | 3,458,430 |
| 83.15 Rural Res Subdivsn | 2 | 114,105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 114,105 | 0 |
| 83.16 Shelton Res | 40 | 295,695 | 432 | 4,242,395 | 430 | 52,880,910 | 470 | 57,419,000 | 58,415 |
| 83.17 Villages Res | 78 | 929,810 | 499 | 8,169,370 | 501 | 62,290,155 | 579 | 71,389,335 | 863,850 |
| 84 Residential Total | 1,203 | 41,225,680 | 14,080 | 554,533,735 | 15,276 | 2,992,023,325 | 16,479 | 3,587,782,740 | 36,037,446 |

Schedule XII : Commercial Records - Assessor Location Detail

| Line\# I Assessor Location | Unimproved Land |  | Improved Land |  | Improvements |  | Total |  | Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Records | Value | Records | Value | Records | Value | Records | Value |  |
| $85.1 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{a}$ Or Error | 1 | 1,397,350 | 1 | 68,070 | 1 | 138,160 | 2 | 1,603,580 | 0 |
| 85.2 Com Outside Kry Cl | 110 | 5,291,425 | 512 | 23,012,985 | 548 | 218,140,180 | 658 | 246,444,590 | 7,159,999 |
| 85.3 Kearney Res/com | 220 | 29,280,848 | 1,403 | 214,879,085 | 1,400 | 849,553,274 | 1,620 | 1,093,713,207 | 13,258,350 |
| 86 Commercial Total | 331 | 35,969,623 | 1,916 | 237,960,140 | 1,949 | 1,067,831,614 | 2,280 | 1,341,761,377 | 20,418,349 |

## County 10 Buffalo

2024 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45
Schedule XIII : Agricultural Records : Grass Land Detail By Market Area
Market Area 1

| Pure Grass | Acres | \% of Acres* | Value | \% of Value* | Average Assessed Value* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87. 1G1 | 21,780.58 | 10.86\% | 32,651,450 | 11.24\% | 1,499.11 |
| 88. 1G | 3,628.47 | 1.81\% | 5,437,420 | 1.87\% | 1,498.54 |
| 89. 2G1 | 30,985.55 | 15.45\% | 45,499,720 | 15.66\% | 1,468.42 |
| 90. 2G | 83,817.07 | 41.78\% | 121,078,455 | 41.67\% | 1,444.56 |
| 91. 3G1 | 59,815.41 | 29.82\% | 85,134,085 | 29.30\% | 1,423.28 |
| 92. 3G | 225.24 | 0.11\% | 314,235 | 0.11\% | 1,395.11 |
| 93. 4G1 | 339.82 | 0.17\% | 463,870 | 0.16\% | 1,365.05 |
| 94. 4G | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 95. Total | 200,592.14 | 100.00\% | 290,579,235 | 100.00\% | 1,448.61 |
| CRP |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96. 1C1 | 3.26 | 0.39\% | 4,605 | 0.42\% | 1,412.58 |
| 97. 1C | 55.20 | 6.60\% | 76,885 | 7.00\% | 1,392.84 |
| 98. 2 C 1 | 52.30 | 6.25\% | 74,885 | 6.82\% | 1,431.84 |
| 99. 2 C | 82.30 | 9.84\% | 111,010 | 10.10\% | 1,348.85 |
| 100.3C1 | 52.86 | 6.32\% | 73,420 | 6.68\% | 1,388.95 |
| 101. 3C | 13.97 | 1.67\% | 17,745 | 1.61\% | 1,270.22 |
| 102. 4C1 | 397.71 | 47.55\% | 514,675 | 46.84\% | 1,294.10 |
| 103.4C | 178.86 | 21.38\% | 225,565 | 20.53\% | 1,261.13 |
| 104. Total | 836.46 | 100.00\% | 1,098,790 | 100.00\% | 1,313.62 |
| Timber |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105. 1T1 | 101.47 | 1.39\% | 70,535 | 1.79\% | 695.13 |
| 106. 1 T | 623.74 | 8.54\% | 433,655 | 10.98\% | 695.25 |
| 107. 2 T1 | 552.54 | 7.56\% | 331,525 | 8.39\% | 600.00 |
| 108.2T | 517.36 | 7.08\% | 310,415 | 7.86\% | 600.00 |
| 109.3T1 | 118.96 | 1.63\% | 66,030 | 1.67\% | 555.06 |
| 110.3T | 790.61 | 10.82\% | 438,830 | 11.11\% | 555.05 |
| 111. 4T1 | 903.63 | 12.37\% | 451,815 | 11.44\% | 500.00 |
| 112.4T | 3,696.68 | 50.60\% | 1,848,340 | 46.78\% | 500.00 |
| 113. Total | 7,304.99 | 100.00\% | 3,951,145 | 100.00\% | 540.88 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grass Total | 200,592.14 | 96.10\% | 290,579,235 | 98.29\% | 1,448.61 |
| CRP Total | 836.46 | 0.40\% | 1,098,790 | 0.37\% | 1,313.62 |
| Timber Total | 7,304.99 | 3.50\% | 3,951,145 | 1.34\% | 540.88 |
| 114. Market Area Total | 208,733.59 | 100.00\% | 295,629,170 | 100.00\% | 1,416.30 |

## County 10 Buffalo

## 2024 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule XIII : Agricultural Records : Grass Land Detail By Market Area
Market Area 2


## County 10 Buffalo

## 2024 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule XIII : Agricultural Records : Grass Land Detail By Market Area $\quad$ Market Area 6

| Pure Grass | Acres | \% of Acres* | Value | \% of Value* | Average Assessed Value* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87. 1G1 | 6,908.25 | 97.43\% | 10,349,770 | 97.45\% | 1,498.18 |
| 88. 1G | 149.81 | 2.11\% | 224,720 | 2.12\% | 1,500.03 |
| 89. 2G1 | 8.65 | 0.12\% | 12,715 | 0.12\% | 1,469.94 |
| 90. 2G | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 91. 3G1 | 23.50 | 0.33\% | 33,490 | 0.32\% | 1,425.11 |
| 92. 3G | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 93. 4G1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 94. 4 G | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 95. Total | 7,090.21 | 100.00\% | 10,620,695 | 100.00\% | 1,497.94 |
| CRP |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96. 1C1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 97. 1C | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 98. 2 C 1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 99. 2 C | 15.70 | 35.20\% | 22,685 | 36.85\% | 1,444.90 |
| 100.3C1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 101. 3C | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 102. 4C1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 103. 4C | 28.90 | 64.80\% | 38,870 | 63.15\% | 1,344.98 |
| 104. Total | 44.60 | 100.00\% | 61,555 | 100.00\% | 1,380.16 |
| Timber |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105. 1T1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 106. 1 T | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 107. 2 T1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 108.2T | 93.66 | 2.88\% | 56,195 | 3.68\% | 599.99 |
| 109.3T1 | 0.00 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00 |
| 110.3T | 182.25 | 5.60\% | 101,160 | 6.62\% | 555.06 |
| 111. 4T1 | 8.65 | 0.27\% | 3,980 | 0.26\% | 460.12 |
| 112.4T | 2,972.74 | 91.26\% | 1,367,465 | 89.45\% | 460.00 |
| 113. Total | 3,257.30 | 100.00\% | 1,528,800 | 100.00\% | 469.35 |
| Grass Total | 7,090.21 | 68.23\% | 10,620,695 | 86.98\% | 1,497.94 |
| CRP Total | 44.60 | 0.43\% | 61,555 | 0.50\% | 1,380.16 |
| Timber Total | 3,257.30 | 31.34\% | 1,528,800 | 12.52\% | 469.35 |
| 114. Market Area Total | 10,392.11 | 100.00\% | 12,211,050 | 100.00\% | 1,175.03 |

## County 10 Buffalo

## 2024 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45

Schedule XIII : Agricultural Records : Grass Land Detail By Market Area $\quad$ Market Area 19


> 2024 County Abstract of Assessment for Real Property, Form 45
> Compared with the 2023 Certificate of Taxes Levied Report (CTL)

|  | 2023 CTL County Total | 2024 Form 45 County Total | Value Difference <br> (2024 form 45-2023 CTL) | Percent <br> Change | 2024 Growth <br> (New Construction Value) | Percent Change excl. Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01. Residential | 3,327,330,779 | 3,584,304,210 | 256,973,431 | 7.72\% | 36,037,446 | 6.64\% |
| 02. Recreational | 3,033,835 | 3,478,530 | 444,695 | 14.66\% | 0 | 14.66\% |
| 03. Ag-Homesite Land, Ag-Res Dwelling | 238,676,530 | 264,717,130 | 26,040,600 | 10.91\% | 365,835 | 10.76\% |
| 04. Total Residential (sum lines 1-3) | 3,569,041,144 | 3,852,499,870 | 283,458,726 | 7.94\% | 36,403,281 | 6.92\% |
| 05. Commercial | 1,113,226,415 | 1,202,703,547 | 89,477,132 | 8.04\% | 19,296,999 | 6.30\% |
| 06. Industrial | 136,248,655 | 139,057,830 | 2,809,175 | 2.06\% | 1,121,350 | 1.24\% |
| 07. Total Commercial (sum lines 5-6) | 1,249,475,070 | 1,341,761,377 | 92,286,307 | 7.39\% | 20,418,349 | 5.75\% |
| 08. Ag-Farmsite Land, Outbuildings | 67,405,405 | 77,735,065 | 10,329,660 | 15.32\% | 8,060,590 | 3.37\% |
| 09. Minerals | 43,250 | 43,250 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 10. Non Ag Use Land | 906,315 | 983,785 | 77,470 | 8.55\% |  |  |
| 11. Total Non-Agland (sum lines 8-10) | 68,354,970 | 78,762,100 | 10,407,130 | 15.23\% | 8,060,590 | 3.43\% |
| 12. Irrigated | 1,344,029,415 | 1,412,608,575 | 68,579,160 | 5.10\% |  |  |
| 13. Dryland | 114,450,815 | 120,408,370 | 5,957,555 | 5.21\% |  |  |
| 14. Grassland | 279,769,576 | 321,919,755 | 42,150,179 | 15.07\% |  |  |
| 15. Wasteland | 9,177,125 | 9,218,480 | 41,355 | 0.45\% |  |  |
| 16. Other Agland | 1,715,940 | 1,702,245 | -13,695 | -0.80\% |  |  |
| 17. Total Agricultural Land | 1,749,142,871 | 1,865,857,425 | 116,714,554 | 6.67\% |  |  |
| 18. Total Value of all Real Property (Locally Assessed) | 6,636,014,055 | 7,138,880,772 | 502,866,717 | 7.58\% | 64,882,220 | 6.60\% |

## 2024 Assessment Survey for Buffalo County

## A. Staffing and Funding Information

| 1. | Deputy(ies) on staff: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 |
| 2. | Appraiser(s) on staff: |
|  | 4- appraisal assistants |
| 3. | Other full-time employees: |
|  | 3 |
| 4. | Other part-time employees: |
|  | 0 |
| 5. | Number of shared employees: |
|  | 0 |
| 6. | Assessor's requested budget for current fiscal year: |
|  | $\$ 594,333.18$ |
| 7. | Adopted budget, or granted budget if different from above: |
|  | same as above |
| $\mathbf{8 .}$ | Amount of the total assessor's budget set aside for appraisal work: |
|  | $\$ 5,000$ - the majority of appraisal work is done in house |
| $\mathbf{9 .}$ | If appraisal/reappraisal budget is a separate levied fund, what is that amount: |
|  | N/A |
| $\mathbf{1 0 .}$ | Part of the assessor's budget that is dedicated to the computer system: |
| $\mathbf{1 2 .}$ | A budget for the computer system is maintained by the county IT Department. |
|  | Amount of the assessor's budget set aside for education/workshops: |
| $\$ 10,000$ |  |
|  | Amount of last year's assessor's budget not used: |

B. Computer, Automation Information and GIS

| $\mathbf{1 .}$ | Administrative software: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | MIPS, PCv3 |
| 2. | CAMA software: |
|  | MIPS, PCv3 |
| 3. | Personal Property software: |
|  | MIPS, PCv3 |
| 4. | Are cadastral maps currently being used? |
|  | Yes |
| 5. | If so, who maintains the Cadastral Maps? |
|  | The Register of Deeds Office maintains the cadastral maps. |
| 6. | Does the county have GIS software? |
|  | Yes |
| 7. | Is GIS available to the public? If so, what is the web address? |
|  | Yes, www.buffalo.gworks.com |
| $\mathbf{8 .}$ | Who maintains the GIS software and maps? |
|  | The GIS software and mapping is maintained by the staff in the county assessor's office, the county |
| IT Department, and the vendor. |  |
| $\mathbf{9 .}$ | What type of aerial imagery is used in the cyclical review of properties? |
| $\mathbf{1 0 .}$ | Pictometry and gWorks |
|  | 2022 Pictometry |

## C. Zoning Information

| $\mathbf{1 .}$ | Does the county have zoning? |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Yes |
| $\mathbf{2 .}$ | If so, is the zoning countywide? |
|  | Yes, there are two zoning areas, Ag and Ag residential. Both areas require building permits. |


| 3. | What municipalities in the county are zoned? |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Kearney, Riverdale, Elm Creek, Amherst, Miller, Pleasanton, Ravenna, Gibbon and Shelton are all <br> zoned. |
| 4. | When was zoning implemented? |
|  | 2003 |

## D. Contracted Services

| 1. | Appraisal Services: |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | None |
| 2. | GIS Services: |
|  | gWorks, Inc. |
| 3. | Other services: |
|  | Pictometry Online |

## E. Appraisal /Listing Services

| 1. | List any outside appraisal or listing services employed by the county for the current <br> assessment year |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | No |
| 2. | If so, is the appraisal or listing service performed under contract? |
|  | N/A |
| 3. | What appraisal certifications or qualifications does the County require? |
|  | N/A |
| 4. | Have the existing contracts been approved by the PTA? |
|  | N/A |
| 5. | Does the appraisal or listing service providers establish assessed values for the county? |
|  | N/A |

## 2024 Residential Assessment Survey for Buffalo County



|  | Only the cost approach is used for residential properties using local market data to arrive at depreciation |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4. | For the cost approach does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on the local <br> market information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor? |
|  | Depreciation studies are developed using local market information. |
| 5. | Are individual depreciation tables developed for each valuation group? If not, do you adjust <br> depreciation tables for each valuation group? If so, explain how the depreciation tables are <br> adjusted. |
|  | Yes, each valuation group has its own depreciation table based on market information. Within the <br> valuation group there are economic depreciation adjustments made from sales studies. |
| $\mathbf{6 .}$ | Describe the methodology used to determine the residential lot values? |
| A price per square foot analysis is conducted based on sales information within the neighborhood. There |  |
| are multiple land tables in the CAMA system that value the land. |  |
| 7. | How are rural residential site values developed? |
| R. | Rural residential site values are developed through sales of rural acreage sites. County zoning requires 3 <br> acres to build a home site, and sales are used based on this acre minimum. |
| Are there form 191 applications on file? |  |
| No | Describe the methodology used to determine value for vacant lots being held for sale or <br> resale? |
|  | A discounted cash-flow analysis is done annually to establish a value for lots held for sale or resale if an <br> application to combine lots has been received. All other lots being held for sale or resale are valued using <br> the regular lot tables for the neighborhood they are located in. |
|  |  |


| 10. | $\frac{\text { Valuation }}{\text { Group }}$ | Date of Depreciation Tables | Date of Costing | Date of <br> Lot Value Study | Date of Last Inspection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018-2023 |
|  | 2 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018-2023 |
|  | 3 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018-2023 |
|  | 4 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018-2023 |
|  | 5 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018-2023 |
|  | 6 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018-2023 |
|  | 7 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018-2023 |
|  | 8 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018-2023 |
|  | 9 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2022 |
|  | 10 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 |
|  | 11 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2019 |
|  | 12 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018 |
|  | 14 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018-2023 |
|  | AG DW | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018-2023 |
|  | AG OB | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018-2023 |

## 2024 Commercial Assessment Survey for Buffalo County

| 1. | Valuation data collection done by: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In-house appraisal assistants |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | List the valuation group recognized in the County and describe the unique characteristics of each: |  |  |  |  |
|  | Valuation <br> Group | Description of unique characteristics |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | Kearney - all commerical and industrial parcels located in the city limits. Commercial businesses in Kearney have the opportunity to serve a broad customer base, as Kearney is a hub for goods and services in Central Nebraska. The market in Kearney is active and strong. |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | All commercial and industrial parcels outside the City of Kearney. Commercial trade in the in the smaller communities is usually restricted to the local population, making commercial property much less desirable. The market in these areas will often be unorganized. |  |  |  |
| 3. | List and describe the approach(es) used to estimate the market value of commercial properties. |  |  |  |  |
|  | For the commercial class, valuation group 1 was updated to the income approach, whereas valuation group 2 will still be using the cost approach. |  |  |  |  |
| 3 a . | Describe the process used to determine the value of unique commercial properties. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Both the cost approach and income approach are used in the commercial class. The commercial appraiser will rely on sales information from across the state (when necessary) to develop the appraisal tables. |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | For the cost approach does the County develop the depreciation study(ies) based on the local market information or does the county use the tables provided by the CAMA vendor? |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAMA tables are used and then adjusted to reflect the local market based on sales information. Economic depreciation is also applied in the smaller villages from the sales analysis. |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Are individual depreciation tables developed for each valuation group? If not, do you adjust depreciation tables for each valuation group? If so, explain how the depreciation tables are adjusted. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Yes, the depreciation table is based on the occupancy code. |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | Describe the methodology used to determine the commercial lot values. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lot size, location and sales analysis are considered in establishing the lot values. |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | Valuation Group | Date of <br> Depreciation Tables | Date of Costing | Date of <br> Lot Value Study | Date of <br> Last Inspection |
|  | 1 | 2020-2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018-2023 |
|  | 2 | 2020-2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2018-2023 |
|  | A portion of the commercial depreciation tables were updated for 2023, with remainder completed in 2024. |  |  |  |  |

## 2024 Agricultural Assessment Survey for Buffalo County

| 1. | Valuation data collection done by: |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | In-house appraisal assistants |
| 2. | List each market area, and describe the location and the specific characteristics that make each unique. |
|  | Market Description of unique characteristics Year Land Use <br> Area  Completed |
|  | This is the northern portion of the county, and is described by the county as the hills. The majority use is grass land, with irrigated farming where it is feasible. |
|  | This area includes agricultural land around the City of Kearney, the 2018-2023 Highway 10 corridor North of Kearney, and the Highway 30, Interstate 80 corridor in the Eastern part of the county. Agricultural land in this area is similar to area 1 ; however, non-agricultural influences from commercial and residential development have caused this area to be identified as a special value market area. |
|  | 06 The area is the Platte River corridor across the county, I-80 is the northern <br> boundary of the area. The area is influenced by recreational uses; the <br> special values are arrived from uninfluenced area 1 2018-2023 |
| 3. | Describe the process used to determine and monitor market areas. |
|  | Topography and soils are considered as are water availability, allocation and rights, and location. Sales studies are conducted and non-agricultural influences are reviewed for changes in the special valuation area. |
| 4. | Describe the process used to identify rural residential land and recreational land in the county apart from agricultural land. |
|  | Typically, any parcel less than 20 acres are classified as residential. However, all parcels are reviewed and inspected periodically to determine whether the use is residential, recreational, or agricultural. |
| 5. | Do farm home sites carry the same value as rural residential home sites? If not what methodology is used to determine market value? |
|  | Yes |
| 6. | What separate market analysis has been conducted where intensive use is identified in the county? |
|  | At this time there has not been a separate market analysis conducted for intensive use in the county. Currently, feedlots, vineyards and tree farms are classified as Intensive use |
| 7. | If applicable, describe the process used to develop assessed values for parcels enrolled in the Wetland Reserve Program. |
|  | There are two WRP properties in the county, and are valued at \$940/acre based on a study. |
| 7 a . | Are any other agricultural subclasses used? If yes, please explain. |


|  | Timber, CRP, and lakes. Irrigated grass is also used and is 5\% less than crop value. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Ifyour county has special value applications, please answer the following |
| $\mathbf{8 a .}$ | How many parcels have a special valuation application on file? |
|  | 733 |
| $\mathbf{8 b}$. | What process was used to determine if non-agricultural influences exist in the county? |
|  | The county conducts a market study. |
| $\mathbf{8 c}$. | Describe the non-agricultural influences recognized within the county. |
| In the southern portion of the county, agricultural land is subject to a recreational influence from the |  |
| Platte River. Around Kearney, there continues to be influence of both commercial and residential |  |
| development. | Where is the influenced area located within the county? |
| $\mathbf{8 e .}$ | Describe in detail how the special values were arrived at in the influenced area(s). |
|  | In both special value areas, agricultural land values are the same as the uninfluenced market area 1. |

# 2023 Three Year Plan of Assessment for Buffalo County Assessment Years 2024, 2025 and 2026 

## Plan of Assessment Requirements

Pursuant to Neb. Revised Statute, 77-1311.02,
The county assessor shall, on or before June 15 each year, prepare a plan of assessment which shall describe the assessment actions the county assessor plans to make for the next assessment year and two years thereafter. The plan shall indicate the classes or subclasses of real property that the county assessor plans to examine during the years contained in the plan of assessment. The plan shall describe all the assessment actions necessary to achieve the levels of value and quality of assessment practices required by law and the resources necessary to complete those actions. The plan shall be presented to the county board of equalization on or before July 31 each year. The county assessor may amend the plan, if necessary, after the budget is approved by the county board. A copy of the plan and any amendments thereto shall be mailed to the Department of Revenue on or before October 31 each year.

Pursuant to Neb. Revised Statute, 77-1311.03
On or before March 19 of each year, each county assessor shall conduct a systematic inspection and review by class or subclass of a portion of the taxable real property parcels in the county for the purpose of achieving uniform and proportionate valuations and assuring that the real property record data accurately reflects the property. The county assessor shall adjust the value of all taxable real property parcels by class or subclass in the county so that the value of all real property is uniform and proportionate. The county assessor shall determine the portion to be inspected and reviewed each year to assure that all parcels of real property in the county have been inspected and reviewed no less frequently than every six years.

## Pursuant to Neb. Revised Statute, 77-112

All property in the State of Nebraska is subject to property tax unless expressly exempt by Nebraska Constitution, Article VIII, or permitted by the constitution and enabling legislation adopted by the legislature. The uniform standard for the assessed value of real property for tax purposes is actual value, which is defined by law as "the market value of real property in the ordinary course of trade.

## Acceptable Range of Values

Pursuant to Neb. Revised Statute 77-5023
(2) An acceptable range is the percentage of variation from a standard for valuation as measured by an established indicator of central tendency of assessment. Acceptable ranges are: (a) For agricultural land and horticultural land as defined in section 77-1359, sixty-nine to seventy-five percent of actual value: (b) for lands receiving special valuation, sixty-nine to seventy-five percent of special valuation as defined in section 77-1343; and (c) for all other real property, ninety-two to one hundred percent of actual value.
(3) Any increase or decrease shall cause the level of value determined by the commission to be at the midpoint of the applicable acceptable range.

## Therefore, Acceptable ranges are:

(a) For agricultural land and horticultural land
(b) For lands receiving special valuation,
(c) For all other real property, e.g., Residential and Commercial/Industrial
$69 \%$ to $75 \%$ of actual value.
$69 \%$ to $75 \%$ of actual value.
$92 \%$ to $100 \%$ of actual value.

Parcels, Valuation and Growth Increase by Years 2022 \& 2023, and Levels of Value*
SOURCE: BUFFALO COUNTY ABSTRACT OF ASSESSMENT FOR REAL PROPERTY, FORM 45 AND/OR YEARLY R \& O BY PROPERTY ASSESSMENT DIVISION, NE DEPT OF REVENUE


| 2022 |
| :---: |
|  |
| 2023 |


| 23,966 |
| :---: |
| 24,156 |


| 249 |
| :---: |
|  |
| 190 |


| $\$ 6,003,878,666$ |
| :---: |
| $\$ 6,693,659,878$ |


| $242,926,486$ |
| :---: |
|  |
| $689,781,212$ |


| $4.05 \%$ |
| :---: |
|  |
| $10 \%$ |



| YEAR | \% RES LEVEL OF VALUE | \% COM LEVEL OF VALUE | \% AG LEVEL OF VALUE | \% SPEC VAL LEVEL OF VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | 94 | 97 | 71 | 71 |
| 2023 | 93 | 98 | 75 | 75 |

Forecast Table of 6 Year Required Review and 3 Year Assessment Plan For 2023,
2024, 2025 with projected years 2026-2028


## Activities Performed During Preliminary Values, Neighborhood Review, Sales Review, Protest Review and Pickup Work

These reviews include:

- Re-measuring house, sheds and outbuildings as necessary.
- Evaluating Quality / Condition and noting if remodeling has taken place.
- Noting kitchen and bathrooms Q / C and pictures taken.
- Evaluating the siding including calculation of percentage of brick veneer
- Obtaining the number of plumbing fixtures
- Obtaining the amount of basement finish
- Establishing an attached and/or detached garage and its size, condition and interior finish
- Re-measuring and recording all miscellaneous improvements - porches, decks, covered or uncovered entries, garage finish, walkout basement, garden level basement, egress windows and measuring concrete patios if changed
- Taking pictures front / back of main building and outbuildings
- Updating the parcel record with any changes observed and noted.
- Checking drawings to 1 " $=20^{\prime}$ Scale
- Entering pictures, notes, and adjustments in the CAMA system.


## General Office Information for 2022

We have taken advantage of videos and classes that were available.
Property Records and sales searches are available on Gworks and Nebraska Assessor's Online, which taxpayers can access.
Field staff are prepared to assist with other areas of responsibilities. They can wait on the counter and help with questions and forms. They go on appointments and help property owners with all queries and explanations. The field staff are currently all using 'Surface Pros' to do their field work. This greatly enhances the amount of work that can be done and cuts down on the amount of time spent in the office. The Surface Pros also take pictures and automatically update the property records. They allow staff the opportunity to show property owners the information in their property record while on site.

## Available Time Allotments For Field Staff

The Assessor's Office has available time allotments for field staff people as follows:

| $61 / 2$ months | NBHD Review | April, May, Jun, July, Aug, Sept, Oct |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 / 2$ month | Taxpayer Protests | Part of July |
| $21 / 2$ months | Pickup Work \& Permit Review | Part of Oct, Nov, Dec |
| 1 month | Calibration \& Sales Studies | Jan |
| 2 months | Preliminary Hearings | Feb |
| $1 / 2$ month | Further Calibration | Part of March |

The months available for review, both neighborhood and preliminary review, pickup work, protest review and sales review account for "inspection and review" during the year. The other months account for "studies of information".

For 2023 we have 4 Full Time Equivalent Field personnel available to accomplish review requirements which include protest, pick-up, permit, preliminary value, and sales review work. The field staff send sales verifications and update information received from those verifications. All field staff work to cover other areas when necessary.

## Office Staff for 2023

There are 3.0 office staff employees. They assist at the counter, answer phone calls, answer questions, wait on the public and do day-to-day duties. All of them can assist with Homestead and Personal Property. Two people assist with sales entry, Permissive Exemption properties and special projects. Two of the clerical staff do most of the reports and information for the state.

The deputy does special inquiries and trouble shooting. She also handles Computer information, MIPS updates and checks input for accuracy. If needed, the deputy aids with review work and assists in every aspect of anything that needs to be done in the office. The Deputy handles all splits and combinations of parcels. She also helps review if necessary.

## Assessor's Staff, Office Duties and Responsibilities

1. Record Maintenance, Mapping Updates \& Ownership Changes
2. Annually prepare and file Assessor Administrative Reports required by statute/regulation

Abstracts (Real and Personal Property)
Assessor Survey
Sale information to PAD roster \& annual Assessed Value Update with
Certification of Value to Political Subdivisions
School District Taxable Value Report
Homestead Exemption Tax Loss Report (in conjunction with Treasurer)
Certificate of Taxes Levied Report
Report of current values for properties owned by Board of Educational
Lands \& Funds
Report of all Exempt Property and Taxable Government Owned
Property
Annual Plan of Assessment Report
3. Personal Property

Administer annual filing of Buffalo County schedules, prepare subsequent notices for incomplete filings or failure to file and penalties applied, as required.
4. Permissive Exemptions

Administer annual filings of applications for a new (Form 451) or continued exempt use (Form 451a), review and make recommendations to B.O.E.
5. Taxable Government Owned Property

Annual review of government owned property not used for public purpose, send notices of intent to tax, etc.
6. Homestead Exemptions

Administer Buffalo County annual filings of applications, approval/denial process, taxpayer notifications, and taxpayer assistance.
7. Centrally Assessed

Review of valuations as certified by PAD for railroads and public service entities, establish assessment records and tax billing for tax list.
8. Tax Increment Financing

Management of record/valuation information for properties in community development projects for proper reporting on administrative reports and allocation of ad valorem tax.
9. Tax Districts and Tax Rates

Management of school district and other tax entity boundary changes Necessary for correct assessment and tax information; input/review of levy rates used for tax billing process.
10. Tax Lists

Prepare and certify tax lists to county treasurer for real property, personal property, and centrally assessed property.
11. Tax List Corrections

Prepare tax list correction documents for B.O.E. approval and for county treasurer.
12. County Board of Equalization

Prepare information for the B.O.E., attend hearings, if applicable for the county, defend values (LOV) and sales file, and/or implement orders of the T.E.R.C.
13. Tax Equalization and Review Commission Appeals

Prepare Information for the B.O.E. to defend their BASIS for decision, defend assessor determined Level of Value (LOV) and sales file, and/or "Show Cause" to the T.E.R.C. for LOV or methodology as the need specifies.
14. T.E.R.C. Statewide Equalization

Attend hearings, if applicable to county, defend values, and/or implement orders of the T.E.R.C. Notify PAD of execution orders and when implemented.
15. Education

Attend meetings, workshops and educational classes to obtain required hours of continuing education to maintain the Assessor Certification. All Staff attends training provided by the State that is pertinent to their specific duties.
16. Assessor's Property Assessment \& Taxation Calendar Complete Assessor's Office calendar tasks in a timely manner.

## In Conclusion:

There are 24,000+ parcels in Buffalo County with modest increases in the number of parcels in the last 5 years.

Those properties scheduled for review in 2023 and protested properties will be reviewed this summer as well as neighborhoods which have signs of inequality within them.

Commercial properties and sales continue to be reviewed. Many commercial neighborhoods have been realigned and values will be examined as we have requested and are receiving income data from multiple commercial owners. We will continue to work on moving to income and expense information for 2023 for the majority of commercial properties.

Special Value and Agricultural properties, as well as acreages, are reviewed and updated in the new review configuration. This year that includes townships 9 and 10 in ranges 13 and 14.

Rural residential properties in the same township and range areas will be reviewed as well as acreages around Kearney.

Multiple neighborhoods in Kearney are being reviewed. Land values for residential neighborhoods, acreages and rural home-sites will be reviewed. Residential depreciation and effective age will be closely monitored in Kearney, small towns, acreages and rural home sites.

I am planning on doing a complete reassessment for the rural residential properties in 2023. Reassessing the replacement costs and installing new depreciation tables will be part of that process. Rural lot models will be reviewed and updated. Garages and outbuildings will be reassessed with new depreciation tables introduced.

We will do preliminary values again in 2024 which will allow property owners to come in and visit with us before the actual 2024 values are set. This was a successful use of time in the past year.

Respectfully submitted,
Date Submitted

July 31, 2023
Roy Meusch
Buffalo County Assessor

## Agricultural Land and Special Valuation for 2024

All agricultural land in Buffalo County is valued using the market approach. In 2002, Buffalo County adopted county zoning that became effective January 1, 2003. The Assessor's Office initiated "Special Valuation" or Greenbelt Valuation after discussion with the Buffalo County Board of Supervisors.

The agricultural land tables in MIPS (CAMA) reflect both market (i.e., the Highest and Best Use" value) and the uninfluenced agricultural land value which reflects $75 \%$ of the value if the land were available for agricultural or horticultural purposes. Special Valuation values are derived from sales of similar classes or subclasses of agricultural land from agricultural areas in which actual value is not subject to influences by other purposes or uses.

## Identification of the Influenced areas:

For 2024, there are three market areas. Area 1 does not recognize a difference between agricultural land value and value for other uses and therefore is not in a Special Valuation area. A difference between values for agricultural purposes and a higher market value based upon other influences or uses was indicated for two areas. Market Area 2 and Market Area 6 are treated as Special Valuation.
Market Area 2 is land surrounding and near the City of Kearney. This area shows a difference between land bought for agricultural purposes and land bought for potential commercial or residential development. Market Area 1 was considered in determining the special value for Market Area 2.
Market Area 6 is located south of I-80 to the county line. This area shows a difference between land bought for agricultural purposes and land bought for river or recreational influence. Groups like Platte Valley Recovery and the Whooping Crane Trust have been buying river and land surrounding the river. They are paying a higher value for land which is not typical of agricultural land values. Market Area 1 was considered in determining the special value for Market Area 6.

## Determination of the highest and best use of the properties to be valued:

Land bought for other influences other than agricultural or horticultural such as commercial, residential or recreational were studied and the best land use was determined. The highest and best uses for Market Area 2 are commercial and residential uses. The highest and best use for Market Area 6 is recreational use. The highest and best uses for Market Area 1 are agricultural and horticultural uses.

## Explanation of the valuation models used in arriving at the value estimates:

Market Area 2 and Market Area 6 are treated as Special Valuation. Sales in these market areas that were bought for other uses are studied and market value is determined. Sales in Area 2 have influences of commercial and residential development from the City of Kearney. Land around Kearney typically sells higher for this reason. The special values are determined from a noninfluenced area. Sales in Market Area 1 help to determine the special value for Area 2. Market Area 6 has influences of the Platte River and recreational uses. Sales of these types of influences are used in determining the market value in these areas. Market Area 1 was considered in determining the special value for Market Area 6.

Explanation and analysis including documentation of adjustments made to sales to reflect current cash equivalency or typical market conditions:

No adjustments were made.
Explanation and analysis of the estimate of economic rent or net operating income used in the income capitalization approach including estimates of yields, commodity prices, typical crop share, or documentation of cash rents:

The Income Capitalization approach was not used.
An explanation and analysis of typical expenses allowed in an income capitalization approach:
The Income Capitalization approach was not used.

Explanation and analysis of the overall capitalization rate used in an income capitalization approach:

The Income Capitalization approach was not used.

A file of all data used in determining special and actual value is available for public inspection in the Buffalo County Assessor's Office.

